UNITED
NATIONS

A

General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/52/635
2 December 1997
ENGLISH
ORIGINAL: SPANISH

Fifty-second session
Agenda item 103

CRIME PREVENTION AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Report of the Third Committee

Rapporteur: Mrs. Mónica MARTÍNEZ (Ecuador)

I. INTRODUCTION

1. At its 4th plenary meeting, on 19 September 1997, the General Assembly, on the recommendation of the General Committee, decided to include in the agenda of its fifty-second session the item entitled "Crime prevention and criminal justice" and to allocate it to the Third Committee.

2. The Committee considered the item jointly with item 104 at its 13th to 18th and 24th meetings, on 23, 24, 27 and 28 October and on 3 November 1997, and took action on the item at its 28th and 48th meetings, on 6 and 26 November 1997. An account of the Committee's discussion is contained in the relevant summary records (A/C.3/52/SR.13-18, 24, 28 and 48).

3. For its consideration of the item, the Committee had before it the following documents:

(a) Relevant sections of the report of the Economic and Social Council for 1997 (A/52/3);[1]

(b) Report of the Secretary-General on progress made in the implementation of General Assembly resolution 51/63 (A/52/295);

(c) Report of the Secretary-General on the United Nations African Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders (A/52/327);

[1] To be issued in final form as Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-second Session, Supplement No. 3 (A/52/3/Rev.1).

(d) Letter dated 16 September 1997 from the Permanent Representative of Austria to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, transmitting the draft of an international instrument against the smuggling of illegal migrants (A/52/357);

(e) Letter dated 23 September 1997 from the Permanent Representative of the Marshall Islands to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, transmitting the communiqué of the twenty-eighth South Pacific Forum, held at Rorotonga, Cook Islands, from 17 to 19 September 1997 (A/52/413);

(f) Letter dated 1 October 1997 from the Permanent Representative of Colombia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, transmitting the communiqué of the Meeting of Ministers for Foreign Affairs and Heads of Delegation of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries, held in New York on 25 September 1997 (A/52/447-S/1997/775);

(g) Letter dated 11 November 1997 from the Permanent Representatives of China and the United States of America to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, transmitting the text of a joint statement of China and the United States of America issued on 29 October 1997 (A/52/589-S/1997/871).

4. At the 13th meeting, on 23 October, the Under-Secretary-General, Director-General of the United Nations Office at Vienna and Executive Director of the Office for Drug Control and Crime Prevention made an introductory statement (see A/C.3/52/SR.13).

II. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSALS

A. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.4

5. By its resolution 1997/22, the Economic and Social Council recommended to the General Assembly the adoption of a draft resolution entitled "Follow-up to the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime". The draft resolution was reproduced in document A/C.3/52/L.4

6. At its 28th meeting, on 6 November, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.4 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution I).

B. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.6

7. By its resolution 1997/24, the Economic and Social Council recommended to the General Assembly the adoption of a draft resolution entitled "Crime prevention and criminal justice measures to eliminate violence against women". The draft resolution was reproduced in document A/C.3/52/L.6.

8. At its 28th meeting, on 6 November, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.6 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution II).

C. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.7

9. By its resolution 1997/25, the Economic and Social Council recommended to the General Assembly the adoption of a draft resolution entitled "International cooperation against corruption and bribery in international commercial transactions". The draft resolution was reproduced in document A/C.3/52/L.7.

10. At its 28th meeting, on 6 November, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.7 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution III).

D. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.8

11. By its resolution 1997/26, the Economic and Social Council recommended to the General Assembly the adoption of a draft resolution entitled "International cooperation in criminal matters". The draft resolution was reproduced in document A/C.3/52/L.8.

12. At its 28th meeting, on 6 November, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.8 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution IV).

E. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.22

13. At the 24th meeting, on 3 November, the representative of Kenya, on behalf of the States Members of the United Nations that are members of the Group of African States, introduced a draft resolution entitled "United Nations African Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders" (A/C.3/52/L.22).

14. At the 28th meeting, on 6 November, the representative of Kenya, on behalf of the sponsors, orally revised the draft resolution as follows:

(a) In operative paragraph 7, the word "thereon" was deleted and the words "on the implementation of the present resolution" were added at the end of the paragraph;

(b) Operative paragraph 8 was deleted.

15. At the same meeting, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.22, as orally revised, without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution V).

F. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.23

16. At the 24th meeting, on 3 November, the representative of Italy, on behalf of Armenia, Austria, Belarus, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cyprus, Georgia, Germany, Iceland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Kyrgyzstan, Malta, the Marshall Islands, Panama, the Philippines, Romania, the Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and Tunisia, introduced a draft resolution entitled "Strengthening the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, particularly its technical cooperation capacity" (A/C.3/52/L.23). Subsequently, Argentina,

Australia, Canada, France, Greece, the Republic of Moldova, San Marino and South Africa joined in sponsoring the draft resolution.

17. At the 28th meeting, on 6 November, the Bahamas, Chile, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Poland, Swaziland, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkey, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan and the United States of America joined in sponsoring the draft resolution. Subsequently, Côte d'Ivoire, Ireland, Israel, Lesotho, Morocco, Slovakia and Solomon Islands joined in sponsoring the draft resolution.

18. At the same meeting, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.23 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution VI).

G. Draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.5

19. By its resolution 1997/23, the Economic and Social Council recommended to the General Assembly the adoption of a draft resolution entitled "Preparations for the Tenth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders". The draft resolution was reproduced in document A/C.3/52/L.5.

20. At the 48th meeting on 26 November, the Committee had before it a statement of programme budget implications of draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.5, submitted by the Secretary-General in accordance with rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly (A/C.3/52/L.43/Rev.1).

21. At the same meeting, the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.3/52/L.5 without a vote (see para. 22, draft resolution VII).

III. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE THIRD COMMITTEE

22. The Third Committee recommends to the General Assembly the adoption of the following draft resolutions:

DRAFT RESOLUTION I

Follow-up to the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 49/159 of 23 December 1994, in which it approved the Naples Political Declaration and the Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime,[2]

---

[2] A/49/748, annex, sect. I.A.

Recalling also Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/27 of 24 July 1996,

Recalling further its resolution 51/120 of 12 December 1996 on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime,

Convinced of the importance of continuous action by Member States aimed at the full implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan,

Reiterating the need for increased technical cooperation activities and the provision of practical assistance to requesting Member States for the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan,

1. Takes note of the reports of the Secretary-General, submitted to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice at its sixth session, on the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime[3] and on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime;[4]

2. Takes note of the forty recommendations elaborated and endorsed by the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime, which met at Lyon, France from 27 to 29 June 1996, which are contained in annex I to the present resolution;

3. Takes note of the report of the informal meeting on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime, held at Palermo, Italy from 6 to 8 April 1997,[5] and expresses its appreciation to the Fondazione Giovanni e Francesca Falcone for organizing and acting as host to the meeting;

4. Reiterates the high priority accorded to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme as well as to its work on action against organized transnational crime in general and the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan in particular;

5. Urges States to continue making every effort possible to implement the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan fully by taking the most appropriate legislative, regulatory and administrative measures, including those aimed at prevention;

6. Requests the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to continue its review of the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan as a matter of high priority;

---

[3] E/CN.15/1997/7.

[4] E/CN.15/1997/7/Add.1.

[5] E/CN.15/1997/7/Add.2, annex.

7. Invites developing countries and countries with economies in transition to undertake action against organized transnational crime and to promote international cooperation in this field as priorities of their development efforts and to include in their requests to the United Nations Development Programme for assistance, as part of the country programme framework of the United Nations Development Programme, projects on action against organized transnational crime and money laundering, with a view to upgrading national institutional capacities and professional expertise in these fields;

8. Calls upon the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank and other international, regional and national funding agencies to give favourable consideration to project proposals on strengthening national or regional capacities and creating the expertise required for the prevention and control of organized transnational crime and money laundering that are elaborated and submitted to them by the Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Division of the Secretariat;

9. Requests the Secretary-General to continue his work on the central repository established pursuant to Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/27, with a view to increasing, maintaining and updating the data and other information contained in the repository and making such information available to States, and for this purpose to continue collecting information and material, taking into account the methodological points and categorization of data listed in annex II to the present resolution, including legislative and regulatory texts on the prevention and control of organized transnational crime, as well as reports on preventive measures;

10. Calls upon all States and relevant international organizations and institutes affiliated and associated with the United Nations to assist the Secretary-General in the implementation of paragraph 9 above by providing him with data and other information, as well as legislative and regulatory texts, and to keep such data up to date;

11. Requests the Secretary-General to continue to provide States with advisory services and other forms of assistance on request in the field of prevention and control of organized transnational crime;

12. Also requests the Secretary-General to assist States in collecting and systematizing data and other information on the occurrence, dimensions and patterns of organized transnational crime by designing and undertaking a comparative study on the situation of organized transnational crime throughout the world;

13. Further requests the Secretary-General to review the data submitted to the central repository and to take that data into account in developing model legislation against organized transnational crime as well as technical manuals for law enforcement and judicial personnel and for agencies engaged in preventive activities;

14. Decides to establish an inter-sessional open-ended intergovernmental group of experts within existing resources or, where possible, funded by extrabudgetary resources, if made available, for the purpose of elaborating a

preliminary draft of a possible comprehensive international convention against organized transnational crime, which would submit a report thereon to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice at its seventh session;

15. Welcomes the generous offer of the Government of Poland to organize and host a meeting of the intergovernmental group of experts;

16. Requests the intergovernmental group of experts, when elaborating the preliminary draft:

(a) To take into account existing multilateral instruments, the draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime presented by the Government of Poland at the fifty-first session of the General Assembly,[6] contained in annex III to the present resolution, the report of the Chairman of the Working Group on the Implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime and the Question of the Elaboration of an International Convention against Organized Transnational Crime, contained in annex IV to the present resolution, the principles indicated in the above-mentioned forty recommendations, and the observations and proposals made by other member States during the sixth session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, including those contained in annexes V and VI to the present resolution, as well as those contained in the report of the Secretary-General on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime[4] and the principles contained in the report of the Secretary-General on measures to prevent trafficking in children;[7]

(b) To give priority consideration to the following issues:

(i) Measures for judicial and police cooperation, particularly in relation to mutual assistance, extradition, money laundering and confiscation of illicit assets, protection of witnesses, information sharing, training and other forms of technical assistance;

(ii) Identification of the scope of application of the above-mentioned measures, having particular regard to the documents contained in annexes III and IV to the present resolution, referred to in subparagraph 16 (a) above;

(iii) Provisions related to criminal offences, particularly in the areas of criminal associations, conspiracy and money-laundering;

(c) Also to consider indicating the need for special provisions related to specific types of crime, such as, trafficking in children, corruption, offences related to firearms, trafficking in illegal migrants and theft of motor vehicles, that may be the subject of international instruments, whether associated with or separate from the draft convention;

---

[6] A/C.3/51/7, annex.

[7] E/CN.15/1997/12.

17. Requests the Secretary-General to provide the Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Division with adequate resources for the preparation and servicing of the meeting of the intergovernmental group of experts;

18. Requests the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to report through the Economic and Social Council to the General Assembly at its fifty-third session on the progress achieved in its work on this question.

ANNEX I

Recommendations of the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime

To combat transnational organized crime efficiently, the members of the Senior Experts Group recommended the following:

1. States should review their laws governing criminal offences, jurisdiction, law enforcement powers and international cooperation, as well as their measures dealing with law enforcement training and crime prevention, to ensure that the special problems created by transnational organized crime are effectively addressed.

2. With the aim of improving mutual assistance, States should, as needed, develop mutual legal assistance arrangements or treaties and exercise flexibility in the execution of requests for mutual assistance.

3. States should, where feasible, render mutual assistance, notwithstanding the absence of dual criminality.

4. States developing mutual assistance treaties should ensure that the treaties:

   (a) Provide a clear description of the scope of the assistance available;

   (b) Encourage a speedy process for assistance;

   (c) Are as comprehensive as possible in terms of assistance available;

   (d) Reflect the principle that evidence will be gathered in the manner sought by the requesting States, unless the procedures are contrary to the fundamental principles of the law of the requested State.

   To further facilitate cooperation against transnational organized crime, States should consider negotiating arrangements in areas that are not covered by mutual legal assistance treaties.

5. States should establish a central authority structured to provide speedy coordination of requests. The central authority should provide a quality-control and prioritizing function for both incoming and outgoing requests to take into account both the seriousness of the offence and the urgency of the request.

At the same time, the central authority should not be seen as an exclusive channel for assistance between States. Direct exchange of information between law enforcement agencies should be encouraged to the extent permitted by domestic laws or arrangements.

6. States should prepare and distribute to other States materials that would describe the channels of communication for mutual assistance and extradition and the process for obtaining such assistance from that State.

7. In cases where a criminal activity occurs in several countries, States with jurisdiction should coordinate their prosecutions and the use of mutual assistance measures in a strategic manner so as to be more efficient in the fight against transnational criminal groups.

8. States should be encouraged to develop, through treaties, arrangements and legislation, a network for extradition.

States should modernize their extradition treaties by eliminating the lists of crimes and allowing for extradition for conduct punishable in both States by deprivation of liberty in excess of an agreed minimum period.

States should make every effort to ensure that their domestic arrangements for extradition are flexible enough to permit extradition to States of a different legal tradition. They should seek to identify and eliminate obstacles to extradition, including those that may arise from the differences between legal systems, by simplifying evidentiary and procedural requirements, for example.

9. States should ensure that their domestic arrangements for extradition are as effective and expeditious as possible.

States should also consider the possibility of extradition without a treaty.

10. If extradition of nationals is not permitted by the requested State, and the extradition of one of its nationals is requested, the requested State should:

(a) Allow for conditional extradition on condition that it is only for trial and that its national will be promptly returned after trial to its territory to serve any sentence within the limits of the law of the requested State; or

(b) Allow for transfer/surrender, when it is permitted by domestic law, only for trial and on condition that its national will be promptly returned after trial to its territory to serve any sentence within the limits of the law of the requested State; or

(c) Apply the rule of aut dedere aut judicare by, at the request of the requesting State, submitting the case to its competent authorities in order that proceedings may be initiated if they are considered appropriate.

11. States should promote other techniques for mutual education that will facilitate mutual assistance and extradition, such as language training, secondments and exchanges between personnel in central authorities or between executing and requesting agencies.

Training courses, joint seminars and information exchange sessions should be encouraged on a bilateral, regional and worldwide basis.

12. Consideration should also be given to posting in other States representatives of prosecuting agencies or of judicial authorities.

13. States should provide effective protection for individuals who have given or have agreed to give information or evidence, or who participate or have agreed to participate in an investigation or prosecution of an offence, and for the relatives and associates of those individuals who require protection because of risk to the security of the person.

14. States should consider, as appropriate, reciprocal arrangements for the protection of witnesses and other endangered persons.

15. States should consider adopting appropriate measures to ensure the protection of witnesses during criminal proceedings. These might include such methods as testifying by telecommunications or limiting the disclosure of the address and identifying particulars of witnesses.

Consideration should be given to the temporary transfer as witnesses of persons in custody, enlargement of the admissibility of written statements, and the use of modern technology, such as video links, to overcome some of the current difficulties with obtaining the testimony of witnesses located outside the prosecuting State.

16. States should review their laws in order to ensure that abuses of modern technology that are deserving of criminal sanctions are criminalized and that problems with respect to jurisdiction, enforcement powers, investigation, training, crime prevention and international cooperation in respect of such abuses are effectively addressed. Liaison between law enforcement and prosecution personnel of different States should be improved, including the sharing of experience in addressing these problems. States should promote study in this area and negotiate arrangements and agreements to address the problem of technological crime and investigation.

17. States should take all other lawful steps available under domestic legislation to ensure that they do not provide safe havens for criminals.

18. We commend the work done by the International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol) and the World Customs Organization, calling upon these organizations to maintain and develop their support for operational activity, facilitating as rapid as possible an exchange of information between law enforcement agencies. We call upon them to focus on a strategic overview of the methods of, and trends in, transnational organized crime for the benefit of all their member countries.

19. In order to facilitate the work of law enforcement practitioners we will, on request, provide brief guides on our respective legal systems and on the mandates of relevant agencies.

20. States should identify central contact points within their existing structures for the purpose of facilitating contact between their operational agencies. It may be useful to locate these points in liaison with the Interpol National Central Bureau.

21. We stress the important contribution that liaison officers can make to the fight against transnational organized crime. We encourage States to make the most effective use possible of their liaison officers in other countries and to consider additional postings. We stress the need for liaison officers to have access, in accordance with the law of the host country, to all agencies of that country with relevant responsibilities.

22. We reiterate our condemnation of drug trafficking, which is a major source of finance for transnational organized criminal groups.

Therefore we:

Reaffirm the importance of the three United Nations conventions of 1961, 1971 and 1988[8] that are fundamental to action against illicit drugs;

Call on all States to adopt and fully implement legislation in accordance with those conventions;

Believe in the value of giving the widest publicity to information issued by official international bodies, such as the International Narcotics Control Board, on illicit drug production, trafficking and the proceeds of the illicit drug trade;

Will work in all relevant forums to prevent the diversion of chemical precursors used in illicit drug production and take the necessary steps to implement fully all relevant international agreements;

Welcome and support implementation of the recommendations of the United Nations International Drug Control Programme Working Group on Maritime Cooperation.

---

[8] Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, No. 7515); Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 (Ibid., vol. 1019, No. 14956); and United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988 (Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November-20 December 1988, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5)).

23. In order to ensure more effective transnational crime prevention, and foster public safety, we will develop strategies to identify and combat the illicit traffic in firearms.

In furtherance of this goal, and in support of the specific recommendations contained in resolution 9 of the Ninth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders[9] and the Economic and Social Council resolution 1995/27, we will, and encourage other States to, review existing firearms laws and regulations to facilitate discussion at an international level.

We will promote information exchange among our relevant law enforcement authorities.

We will encourage States to enhance the exchange of information useful for law enforcement purposes (e.g., data for the identification of illicit firearms and specific information on tests conducted on firearms and ammunition which have been used in the course of criminal activities).

24. States should ensure that immigration services play their part in the fight against transnational organized crime. We note the involvement of transnational organized crime in alien smuggling and call upon all States to enact legislation to criminalize such smuggling of persons. Immigration services and other agencies should:

Exchange information on the transnational movement of organized criminals;

Have as full as possible an exchange of information on forged and stolen documents used by traffickers;

Consider the most effective means for its communication.

We will take the necessary steps to improve the quality of our travel documents. We encourage other States to improve theirs and will assist them to do so.

25. We support the exchange of law enforcement expertise regarding scientific and technological developments, such as advances in the forensic sciences.

26. We emphasize the relevance and effectiveness of techniques such as electronic surveillance, undercover operations and controlled deliveries. We call upon States to review domestic arrangements for those techniques and to facilitate international cooperation in these fields, taking full account of human rights implications. We encourage States to exchange experiences concerning their use.

27. We emphasize the importance of giving the fullest possible protection to sensitive information received from other countries.

---

[9] See A/CONF.169/16.

The competent authorities of different States should advise each other on the requirements regarding the disclosure of information in the course of judicial and administrative proceedings and should discuss in advance potential difficulties arising from those requirements.

A transmitting State may make conditions for the protection of sensitive information before deciding whether to transmit it. A receiving State must abide by the conditions agreed with the transmitting State.

28. Building on current cooperative arrangements, the different agencies in our countries will develop their work together in specific law enforcement projects targeted on transnational organized crime. We have formulated practical guidance on project-based action and commend this approach to all States.

Project-based action involves bilateral and multilateral priority setting, targeting, resourcing and assessment of law enforcement operations drawing on the strength of the full range of competent agencies.

29. We welcome the resolve of the Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering to extend criminalization of money laundering to other serious offences.

30. States should consider adopting legislative measures for the confiscation or seizure of illicit proceeds from drug trafficking and other serious offences, asset forfeiture, as required, and the availability of provisional arrangements, such as the freezing or seizing of assets, always with due respect for the interests of bona fide third parties. States should also consider the introduction of arrangements for the equitable sharing of such forfeited assets.

31. States should consider implementing measures to detect and monitor the physical transportation of cash and bearer-negotiable instruments at the border, subject to strict safeguards to ensure proper use of information and without impeding in any way the freedom of legitimate capital movements.

32. States should adopt the necessary legislative and regulatory measures to combat corruption, establish standards of good governance and legitimate commercial and financial conduct, and develop cooperation mechanisms to curb corrupt practices.

33. We agree to share information on practical anti-money-laundering techniques and to draw on the experience gained to adapt and improve national and international training activities in this area, in conjunction with the action of the Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering.

34. In order to improve understanding and information on the detection of financial networks linked to Transnational Organized Crime (in particular investments by transnational organized crime), we encourage States to take measures to gather financial information and, as much as possible,

facilitate the exchange of such information, including exchanges between law enforcement agencies and regulatory bodies.

35. We urge States to adhere to and fully implement existing relevant multilateral conventions whose provisions effectively contribute to the fight against all forms of transnational organized crime, in particular the conventions concerning control of illicit drugs.

36. We will keep under review the possibility of supplementing existing conventions and adopting new instruments, in response to developing needs in the fight against transnational organized crime.

37. We support and encourage the provision and reporting of clear and accessible information on adhesion to and implementation of the main conventions.

38. In order to avoid wasteful duplication and to ensure that limited resources are used to best effect, we urge international organizations to coordinate their work programmes and to concentrate their efforts within their areas of competence on activities of practical value to member States.

39. We will work together in the governing bodies of international organizations whenever possible in order to give more coherent impetus and coordination to the fight against transnational organized crime.

40. We will seek to ensure that all international organizations that play an effective role in the fight against transnational organized crime have adequate resources to fulfil their mandate.

We will also examine possibilities for providing appropriate financial resources for specific, practical and viable projects developed by the competent international organizations.

ANNEX II

Methodological points and categorization of data

1. Methodological points:

(a) Exploitation of methods to collect the texts other than the issuance of notes verbales, especially taking into account potential burdens imposed on those States whose languages are not working languages of the United Nations or which do not have any texts translated into such languages;

(b) Coordination with the work already done by other United Nations entities or relevant international organizations in order to avoid duplication;

(c) Identification of access points to the depositories of the texts prepared by other United Nations entities and relevant international organizations.

2. Categorization of data:

(a) Substantial provisions:

(i) Participation in a criminal organization (i.e., conspiracy, criminal association);

(ii) Confiscation and provisional measures;

(iii) Money laundering;

(iv) Sentencing;

(b) Procedural provisions:

(i) Search and seizure;

(ii) Electronic surveillance;

(iii) Undercover operations;

(iv) Controlled delivery;

(v) Immunity;

(vi) Witness protection;

(vii) Mutual assistance and extradition;

(c) Other provisions:

(i) Victim compensation;

(ii) Bank secrecy;

(iii) Reporting of suspicious transactions;

(iv) Border control of proceeds of crime;

(v) Immigration control;

(vi) Control over criminal organizations.

ANNEX III

Draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime

The States Parties to the present Convention,

Concerned with the growing threat of organized crime, including the illicit traffic in narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances, money laundering and the illicit traffic in arms, nuclear material and explosive devices, motor vehicles and objects of art,

Concerned also with the increasing threat of organized crime to global security and criminal justice,

Aware that organized crime, in its national and transnational dimensions, destabilizes international relations, including interregional, regional,

subregional and bilateral cooperation, by exerting an influence on politics, the media, public administration, judicial authorities and the economy by establishing commercial or business-like structures,

Convinced that a flexible and efficient framework for multilateral and bilateral cooperation is required to intensify law enforcement, criminal justice and crime prevention activities of Member States,

Recalling General Assembly resolution 49/159 of 23 December 1994, in which it approved the Naples Political Declaration and the Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime,

Recalling further the recommendations of the Regional Ministerial Workshop on the Follow-up to the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime,

Bearing in mind the United Nations model legal arrangements, such as the Model Treaty on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters,[10] the Model Treaty on the Transfer of Proceedings in Criminal Matters,[11] the Model Treaty on Extradition,[12] the Model Treaty on the Transfer of Supervision of Offenders Conditionally Sentenced or Conditionally Released[13] and the Model Treaty for the Prevention of Crimes that Infringe on the Cultural Heritage of Peoples in the Form of Movable Property,

Mindful of other existing criminal justice and human rights instruments that provide legal protection to offenders and victims of crime,

Affirming that the matters regulated by the present Convention continue to be governed by the rules and principles of general international law,

Have agreed on the following:

Article 1

1. For the purpose of this Convention "organized crime" means group activities of three or more persons, with hierarchical links or personal relationships, which permit the group leaders to earn profits or control territories or markets, internal or foreign, by means of violence, intimidation or corruption, both in furtherance of criminal activity and to infiltrate the legitimate economy, in particular through:

---

[10] Resolution 45/117.

[11] Resolution 45/118.

[12] Resolution 45/116.

[13] Resolution 45/119.

(a) Illicit traffic in narcotic drugs or psychotropic substances, and money laundering, as defined in the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988;[14]

(b) Traffic in persons, as defined in the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others of 1949;[15]

(c) Counterfeiting currency, as defined in the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency of 1929;

(d) Illicit traffic in or stealing of cultural objects, as defined by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property of 1970, and the International Institute for the Unification of Private Law Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects of 1995;

(e) Stealing of nuclear material, its misuse or threats to misuse to harm the public, as defined by the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material of 1980;

(f) Terrorist acts;

(g) Illicit traffic in or stealing of arms and explosive materials or devices;

(h) Illicit traffic in or stealing of motor vehicles;

(i) Corruption of public officials.

2. For the purpose of the present Convention, "organized crime" includes the commission of an act by a member of a group as part of the criminal activity of such an organization.

Article 2

1. Each Contracting State shall make the offences enumerated in article 1 of the present Convention punishable by appropriate penalties that take into account their grave nature.

---

[14] Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November-20 December 1988, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5).

[15] Resolution 317 (IV).

2. Each Contracting State shall make punishable acts consisting of participation in or association with an organized crime group whose purpose it is to commit offences.

3. Each Contracting State shall take necessary measures to create the possibility of the confiscation of the profits deriving from organized crime.

Article 3

Each Contracting State shall consider establishing in its domestic penal legislation the possibility of criminal liability of corporate persons who derive profits from organized crime or function as a cover for the criminal organization.

Article 4

Each Contracting State shall take legislative measures to recognize, in their domestic law, the previous foreign conviction for offences referred to in article 1 of the present Convention for the purpose of establishing the criminal history of the alleged offender.

Article 5

1. Each Contracting State shall take legislative measures to establish its jurisdiction over the crimes mentioned in article 1 of the present Convention in the following cases:

(a) When the crime is committed in the territory of that State or on board a vessel or aircraft registered in that State;

(b) When the alleged offender is a national of that State. Such jurisdiction shall be independent of the punishability of the act in the place of its commission;

(c) When the alleged offender is present in its territory and it does not extradite him. Such jurisdiction shall be independent of the punishability of the act in the place of its commission.

2. This Convention does not exclude any criminal jurisdiction exercised in accordance with the domestic law.

Article 6

1. The offences mentioned in article 1 of the present Convention shall be deemed to be included as extraditable offences in any extradition treaty between the Contracting States. The Contracting States undertake to include the offences as extraditable offences in every extradition treaty to be concluded between them.

2. If a Contracting State that makes extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty receives a request for extradition from another Contracting State with which it has no extradition treaty, it shall consider the present Convention as the legal basis for extradition in respect of the offences mentioned in article 1 of this Convention. Extradition shall be subject to the other conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

3. The Contracting States which do not make extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty shall recognize the offences mentioned in article 1 of the present Convention as extraditable offences between them, subject to the conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

4. The Contracting States, subject to their domestic legislation, shall consider simplifying the extradition of consenting persons who waive formal extradition proceedings by allowing direct transmission of extradition requests between appropriate ministries and extraditing persons based only on warrants of arrest or judgements.

Article 7

1. Each Contracting State shall consider necessary legislative measures, including extradition of its nationals, if the extradition is requested in respect of any offence defined in article 1 of the present Convention.

2. Extradition of a national may be granted on the condition that the sentence pronounced abroad will be executed in the requesting State.

Article 8

1. The offences mentioned in article 1 of the present Convention shall not be considered political offences for the purpose of extradition.

2. Extradition shall not be granted if the requested Party has substantial grounds for believing that a request for extradition has been made for the purpose of prosecuting or punishing a person on account of his race, religion, nationality or political opinion, or that a person's position may be prejudiced for any of these reasons.

Article 9

Upon being satisfied that the circumstances so warrant, the Contracting State in whose territory the alleged offender is present, shall take a person whose extradition is sought into custody or take other appropriate measures under its domestic law, so as to ensure his presence for the purpose of extradition.

Article 10

1. The Contracting States shall afford one another the widest measure of mutual legal assistance, within the conditions prescribed by the domestic legal assistance in investigations, prosecutions and judicial proceedings in relation to the offences mentioned in article 1 of the present Convention, and shall exercise flexibility in the execution of requests for such mutual assistance.

2. Subject to domestic legislation, legal assistance shall include also the delivery of information constituting bank secrecy.

Article 11

1. The Contracting States shall consider entering into bilateral and multilateral agreements, including the direct cooperation between their police agencies and common operations in the territory of each Contracting State.

2. The Contracting States shall strengthen cooperation in law enforcement training and crime prevention to facilitate mutual assistance and extradition, such as language training, secondments and exchanges.

3. In the case of existing bilateral and multilateral agreements, the Contracting States shall strengthen efforts to maximize operational and training activities within the International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol) and within other relevant bilateral and multilateral agreements or arrangements.

Article 12

1. The Contracting States shall consider entering into bilateral and multilateral agreements on the cooperation between or among criminal justice authorities on the exchange of information concerning all aspects of the criminal activity of persons involved in organized crime as defined in article 1 of the present Convention, including information from their registers of convicted persons.

2. The Contracting States shall facilitate such exchange of information on the basis of their domestic legislation.

3. The Contracting States shall consider the establishment of a common data bank on organized criminality, including information on the activities of criminal groups and their members and information on convicted persons.

4. The collection of information mentioned above shall be carried out with due regard for the need for legal protection of personnel files, as provided for in the domestic and international provisions.

Article 13

The Contracting Parties shall cooperate in the establishment and implementation of their respective witness protection programmes, including the

protection of witness families, in particular by creating the possibility of the settlement of a foreign protected witness in their territories.

Article 14

A Contracting State may adopt more strict or severe measures than those provided by this Convention if, in its opinion, such measures are desirable or necessary for the prevention or suppression of organized crime.

Article 15

1. For the purpose of examining the progress made by the Contracting States in achieving the realization of the obligations undertaken in the present Convention, these States shall provide periodic reports to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, which will carry out the functions hereinafter provided.

2. The Contracting States undertake to provide such reports within two years of the entry into force of the Convention for the Contracting State concerned, and thereafter every five years.

3. Reports made under the present article shall indicate factors and difficulties, if any, affecting the degree of fulfilment of the obligations under the present Convention. Reports shall also contain sufficient information to provide the Commission with a comprehensive understanding of the implementation of the Convention in the country concerned.

4. A Contracting State that has submitted a comprehensive initial report to the Commission need not, in its subsequent reports submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 of this article, repeat basic information previously provided.

5. The Commission may request from the Contracting States further information relevant to the implementation of the Convention.

6. The Commission shall make its recommendations and submit to the Economic and Social Council reports on its activities, in accordance with existing provisions.

7. The Contracting States shall make their reports widely available to the public in their own countries.

Article 16

In order to foster the effective implementation of the Convention and to encourage international cooperation in the field covered by the Convention:

(a) Intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council, and other invited multilateral organizations, shall be entitled to be represented at the consideration of the

implementation of such provisions of the present Convention as fall within the scope of their mandate. The Commission may invite the specialized agencies and other United Nations organs to submit reports on the implementation of the Convention in areas falling within the scope of their activities;

(b) The Commission shall transmit, as it may consider appropriate, to the intergovernmental, non-governmental organizations, other multilateral organizations and the specialized agencies, any reports from the Contracting States that contain a request, or indicate a need, for technical advice or assistance, along with the Commission's observations and suggestions, if any, on these requests or indications;

(c) The Commission may recommend to the Economic and Social Council that it request the Secretary-General to undertake on its behalf studies on specific issues relating to the control and prevention of organized crime;

(d) The Commission may make suggestions and general recommendations based on information received pursuant to article 14 of the present Convention. Such suggestions and general recommendations shall be transmitted to any Contracting Party concerned and reported to the Economic and Social Council, together with comments, if any, from the Contracting States.

Article 17

This Convention shall be open to all States for signature from _____ _____ to _____, and thereafter at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York until _____.

Article 18

The present Convention is subject to ratification. Instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 19

1. The present Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day following the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twentieth instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

2. For each Contracting State ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to the Convention after the deposit of the twentieth instrument of such action, the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the deposit by such State of that relevant instrument.

Article 20

1. The Contracting State may propose an amendment and file it with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Secretary-General shall thereupon communicate the proposed amendment to the Contracting States with a request that they indicate whether they favour a conference of Contracting States for the purpose of considering and voting upon the proposals. In the event that, within four months from the date of such communication, at least one third of the States favour such a conference, the Secretary-General shall convene the conference under the auspices of the United Nations. Any amendment adopted by a majority of Contracting States present and voting at the conference shall be submitted to the General Assembly of the United Nations for approval.

2. An amendment adopted in accordance with paragraph 1 of the present article shall enter into force when it has been approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations and accepted by a two-thirds majority of Contracting States.

3. When an amendment enters into force, it shall be binding on those State Parties that have accepted it, other Contracting States still being bound by the provisions of the present Convention and any earlier amendments they have accepted.

Article 21

1. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall receive and circulate to all States the text of reservations made by Contracting States at the time of ratification, acceptance, approval or acceding.

2. A reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of the present Convention shall not be permitted.

3. Reservations may be withdrawn at any time by notification to that effect addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, who shall then inform all States. Such notification shall take effect on the date on which it is received by the Secretary-General.

Article 22

A Contracting Party may denounce the present Convention by written notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Denunciation becomes effective one year after the date of receipt of the notification by the Secretary-General.

Article 23

The Secretary-General of the United Nations is designated as the depository of the present Convention.

Article 24

The original of the present Convention, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned plenipotentiaries, being duly authorized thereto by their respective Governments, have signed the present Convention.

ANNEX IV

Report of the Chairman of the Working Group on the Implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime and the Question of the Elaboration of an International Convention against Organized Transnational Crime

1. The Working Group was established pursuant to Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/27 and its mandate was set out in paragraph 10 of that resolution. The General Assembly, in its resolution 51/120, requested the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to consider as a matter of priority the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime, taking into account the views of all States on that matter, with a view to finalizing its work on this question as soon as possible. The Commission was also requested to report through the Economic and Social Council to the General Assembly at its fifty-second session on the results of its work on that question. The Working Group was therefore given the task of assisting the Commission in implementing the above-mentioned requests of the General Assembly.

2. The Working Group had before it the following documents:

(a) Report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime;[16]

(b) Report of the Secretary-General on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime;[17]

(c) Report of the informal meeting on the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime, held at Palermo, Italy from 6 to 8 April 1997;[18]

---

[16] E/CN.15/1997/7.

[17] E/CN.15/1997/7/Add.1.

[18] E/CN.15/1997/7/Add.2, annex.

(d) Report of the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Extradition, held at Siracusa, Italy from 10 to 13 December 1996.[19]

3. The Working Group was also provided with the following documents:

(a) Views of the Government of the United States of America on the most effective means for discussion of the issue of elaboration of conventions;

(b) Views of the Government of Germany on an alternative solution for a United Nations convention on combating transnational organized crime;

(c) The forty recommendations elaborated and endorsed by the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime, which met at Lyon, France from 27 to 29 June 1996;

(d) Non-paper containing a tentative idea of the Japanese delegation in relation to the elaboration of a convention on measures against organized crime.

4. The Working Group first discussed the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized transnational crime. The Working Group was of the view that its contribution would be most useful to the Commission if it considered the scope and content of such a convention, rather than engaging in a drafting exercise, which would be outside the mandate given by the Council and the Assembly and would require significantly more time than was available. The Working Group felt that organized crime presented grave global dangers to development and security and that the challenges it posed were becoming greater with time. In determining the scope and content of such a convention, the international community could draw on the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988,[20] but should be able to come up with new and more innovative and creative responses.

5. The Working Group recognized that it was desirable to develop a convention that would be as comprehensive as possible. In this connection, several States indicated that their remaining reservations on the effectiveness and usefulness of a convention were contingent upon its scope of application and the measures for concerted action that such an instrument would include. Several States stressed the importance they attached to the nature of a convention as a framework instrument. One difficult issue would be arriving at an acceptable definition of organized crime. It was indicated, however, that that issue was not insuperable, especially in the presence of a strong and sustained political will. Several States were of the view that the definition was not necessarily the most crucial element of a convention and that the instrument could come into being without a definition of organized crime. In this connection, it was also

---

[19] E/CN.15/1997/6 and Corr.1, annex.

[20] Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November-20 December 1988, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5).

suggested that the phenomenon of organized crime was evolving with such rapidity that a definition would limit the scope of application of a convention by omitting activities in which criminal groups might engage. Other States felt that the absence of a definition would send the wrong signal regarding the political will and commitment of the international community. In addition, avoiding the issue would eventually create problems regarding the implementation of a convention. In view of all this, concerted efforts to arrive at a solution should be made. There were several very important advances made at the regional level, where the matter of some of the constituent elements of a workable definition had been satisfactorily resolved. One example was the solution found for defining participation in organized criminal groups, used in the European convention on extradition. The problem of definition could be solved by looking at each of its elements separately. It was suggested that a first step towards a definition might be to use the definitions of offences contained in other international instruments. It was agreed that the work required in connection with the definition could not be carried out by the Working Group but should be undertaken by governmental experts at a future time. There was also discussion about whether in elaborating the definition, the focus should be on the transnational aspects of organized crime or on organized crime in general. It was pointed out that the mandate of the Commission was related to organized transnational crime but that the issue required further serious consideration in the context of determining the overall scope of a convention.

6. In the context of the discussion on whether such a convention should include a list of offences, some States expressed their support for the inclusion of terrorist acts in such a list. Many States were of a contrary view, recalling the initiatives currently under way in the United Nations and other forums on terrorism and the conclusions of the Commission at its fifth session.

7. The Working Group agreed that it would be useful to focus on widely accepted constituent elements of organized crime. In the discussion that ensued, the elements identified included some form of organization; continuity; the use of intimidation and violence; a hierarchical structure of groups, with division of labour; the pursuit of profit; and exercising influence on the public, the media and political structures.

8. The Working Group decided that the best way to proceed for the purpose of advancing the issue was to seek common ground, utilizing as many previous contributions as possible and building on the positive experience and valuable work done at other forums, such as the European Union and the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime. The draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime[21] was a useful point of departure and a good basis for further work. In this connection, the Working Group decided to discuss matters related to international cooperation in criminal matters that would form an essential part of an international legally binding instrument. The overriding concern would be to equip the international community with an effective instrument to strengthen action against organized crime.

---

[21] Annex III above.

9. The Working Group agreed that extradition was crucial to international cooperation against organized crime and, as such, it would form a central component of such a convention. A number of States indicated that the extradition of nationals presented several legal and constitutional problems. While some States were in the process of studying the matter in depth, with a view to finding more efficient solutions and improving international cooperation, it would be difficult for them to comply with a provision envisaging extradition of nationals. It was consequently deemed important to incorporate in a convention a more detailed provision regarding the application of the principle aut dedere aut judicare. Since there were a number of countries where extradition of nationals was possible and it was also believed that a trend in that direction might develop in the future, it was agreed that the provision of article 7 of the draft United Nations framework convention was a good basis for discussion and should be retained. It was also agreed that the option of extraditing nationals should be left open, while specifying that extradition would be governed by national constitutional and legal provisions. It was suggested that in finding an acceptable solution to this matter, the formula contained in the draft convention on terrorist bombings regarding extradition could be relied upon. Inspiration could also be drawn from the Statute of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991.[2] In addition, reference was made to article 6 of the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988, which could be used as a model to arrive at a more comprehensive extradition regime.

10. On the question of corporate criminal liability (contained in article 3 of the draft United Nations framework convention), several States indicated that the concept was still not reflected in their legislation. In those States, criminal liability was personal and corporate entities could be held accountable only under civil and administrative law. Even where the concept of corporate criminal liability had begun to be introduced, such liability was attached to the person of the executive responsible for the management of the corporate entity. It was explained that the problem was one of legal tradition and philosophy, while it was recognized that corporate criminal liability was a powerful deterrent, particularly in view of the tendency of criminal groups to operate using corporate entities, either infiltrated or set up for the purpose of masking the nature of their illicit activities. The issue of corporate criminal liability was considered important but it required further clarification and elaboration in order to take into account the varying legal traditions of countries.

11. Regarding the recognition of foreign convictions (contained in article 4 of the draft United Nations framework convention), it was indicated that there were a number of issues that required clarification and further work. It was clarified that the term "conviction" was used in the sense of a finding of guilt and that the article tried to capture the essence of and build upon the concept reflected in paragraph 5 (h) of article 3 of the 1988 Convention. While the issue of prior criminal history was deemed important, because of its potential

---

[2] S/25704 and Corr.1, annex.

usefulness to the expeditious judicial processing of organized crime cases, it was necessary to discuss in detail the modalities for the exchange of the relevant information and the weight to be given to previous convictions in the framework of each jurisdiction. It was also indicated that the matter was directly related to the scope of application of such a convention, particularly regarding substantive law. It was important to formulate a provision on this issue that would ensure avoidance of problems related to double jeopardy or to offences existing in one jurisdiction but not in another.

12. On police cooperation (article 11 of the draft United Nations framework convention), the issue of joint police operations merited further discussion, as it created a number of concerns for several countries. The desirability of closer cooperation between law enforcement agencies had been expressed in the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan, but it was deemed important to stress that such cooperation would be pursued in accordance with national legislation. Similar provisions were included in the 1988 Convention and could be useful to the discussion of this question. With regard to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11, it was pointed out that the concept they contained was valid, but further work would be necessary in specifying modalities for application, especially in the context of a legally binding instrument such as a convention.

13. Regarding article 12 of the draft United Nations framework convention, it was agreed that the idea was very important in view of the essential role reliable information played in action against organized crime. The provision, however, required considerably more work because the issue of databases involved a number of important matters, such as accessibility, protection of data, and safeguards related to the protection of privacy, in addition to costs for the creation and maintenance of such databases. All these issues needed to be resolved in a manner acceptable to all, while retaining the usefulness of a database.

14. There was general acceptance of the importance of witness protection (reflected in article 13 of the draft United Nations framework convention). Some States took the opportunity to indicate their intention to establish witness protection programmes, while others advised caution in approaching the matter, because of the risks associated with this mechanism, which related to the social conditions prevailing in countries and the possibility of diminished credibility of certain witnesses.

15. The Working Group then discussed the issue of mutual legal assistance (article 10 of the draft United Nations framework convention), which was deemed one of the most important cooperation mechanisms to feature in a convention against organized crime. Article 10 was similar to the provisions of other United Nations instruments, but in view of the more comprehensive nature of the proposed convention, the provisions on mutual assistance should be more detailed and more innovative. The 1988 Convention could be used as a source of inspiration in order to arrive at the level of detail that was necessary. In this connection, reference was also made to the report of the informal meeting held at Palermo, which had discussed this issue extensively and included material for further consideration.

16. The Working Group agreed that considerable work was required on the issue of the convention. For this purpose, it proposed that an open-ended intergovernmental inter-sessional group of experts should be established to consider all pending proposals related to the issue of conventions, as well as all elements thereof and appropriate cooperation modalities and mechanisms.

17. The Working Group discussed and endorsed the proposals of the Secretary-General on the follow-up action for the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime. The Working Group expressed its support for the maintenance and expansion of the central repository on national legislation and other information and data related to organized transnational crime. It was suggested that the Secretariat should make efforts to identify methods for collecting information and legislative texts rather than merely addressing requests to States in the form of notes verbales. Concern was expressed regarding the resources necessary to undertake the activities required for follow-up action. In this connection, the importance attached to practical action to foster the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan was reiterated.

ANNEX V

Views of the Government of the United States of America on the most effective means for discussion by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice at its sixth session on the issue of elaboration of conventions

1. The Government of the United States of America considers it very important that discussion of all proposals for elaboration of multilateral conventions to combat criminal conduct take place in the Working Group of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice on the Implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime, in particular, the question of the elaboration of an international convention against organized crime. Such a discussion will be useful as a means for stimulating thought on the extent to which the various proposals can and should be incorporated into a single instrument. In addition, it will enable delegations to focus on the priority to be set concerning the criminal conduct governed by these different proposals.

2. In addition to the proposal presented by the Government of Poland for a United Nations framework convention on combating organized crime,[21] the following five proposals for multilateral conventions are either the subject of draft resolutions to be considered by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice at its sixth session, or have been broached in informal discussions among member States: the proposal presented by the Government of Argentina for a convention to combat trafficking in children; the recommendation of the Buenos Aires expert group on combating corruption; a possible

---

[21] Annex III above.

multilateral convention on firearms;[24] a possible convention on trafficking in illegal migrants;[25] and a possible convention on theft of motor vehicles.[26] However, Poland's proposed framework convention on organized crime is intended to cover all of the other proposals in whole or in part by including, under article 1, trafficking in persons, corruption of public officials, illicit trafficking or stealing of arms and illicit trafficking or stealing of motor vehicles. Thus, these or any other potential single-issue conventions may be to some degree duplicative of Poland's proposal and, if consensus is reached on inclusion of such types of criminality in a framework convention on organized crime, it may subsequently be unnecessary to negotiate further instruments.

3. Moreover, as more fully set forth in the appendix to the present annex,[27] certain types of cooperation mechanisms cannot be dispensed with in combating organizations that engage in multiple forms of criminality; such mechanisms include law enforcement information exchange, training and technical assistance, mutual assistance, asset seizure and forfeiture, witness protection, extradition and harmonization of substantive criminal laws. The international community may decide that a single instrument would best ensure that all of these areas are addressed with sufficient consistency, that limited resources for negotiating conventions and fighting organized crime are used most efficiently and that the fight against organized crime is carried out in a comprehensive and logical fashion. If so, it would be inadvisable to continue to discuss the elaboration of other instruments separately.

4. Finally, discussion of the merits of all potential instruments in the Working Group will be useful for the purpose of comparing the gravity of the various forms of criminality and determining which aspects constitute the most significant transnational criminal problems. The discussion of the level of prioritization that should be given to each form of criminality may assist the Commission in determining the extent to which other multilateral conventions should be pursued separately from a framework convention on organized crime, or whether they should be pursued at all.

---

[24] The Government of Mexico introduced a proposal for such a convention to the Organization of American States.

[25] It is the understanding of the Government of the United States of America that a member of the Western European and other Group is exploring the possibility of introducing such a proposal.

[26] The Government of Poland has introduced a resolution calling for the adoption of a model treaty on combating this form of criminality.

[27] The appendix was submitted to stimulate discussion in the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime on the means of implementing recommendations 35 and 36 of its forty recommendations for combating transnational organized crime.

APPENDIX

Implementation of recommendations 35 and 36 of the Senior Experts Group

Recommendations for combating transnational organized crime: the supplementation of existing multilateral conventions or adoption of new conventions to assist in the fight against transnational organized crime

Introduction

1. Recommendation 35 of the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime calls for States to adhere to and implement relevant existing multilateral conventions whose provisions contribute to the fight against all forms of transnational organized crime, while recommendation 36 contemplates a review of the feasibility of updating existing conventions and adopting new instruments in order to enhance the ability of States to fight transnational organized crime.

2. Among the existing conventions that the Senior Experts Group has catalogued for the purpose of considering whether updating is feasible are: the Slavery Convention (1926) as amended by the 1953 Protocol;[28] the Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956);[29] the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency (1929); the International Forced Labour Convention (1930);[30] the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others (1949);[31] the Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (1971);[32] and the International

---

[28] United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 212, No. 2861.

[29] Ibid., vol. 266, No. 3822.

[30] Human Rights: A Compilation of International Instruments (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.93.XIV.1 (vol. I, Part 1)).

[31] General Assembly resolution 317 (V) of 2 December 1949.

[32] United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 823, No. 11806.

Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance for the Prevention, Investigation and Repression of Customs Offences (1977).[33]

3. In addition, the Government of Poland introduced, at the fifty-first session of the General Assembly, a draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime.[34] The proposal raises issues regarding the feasibility of adopting a single convention to combat transnational organized crime, in contrast with the updating of existing instruments or adoption of a number of new instruments each dealing with a separate type of criminal conduct.

4. Various options available for using multilateral instruments to fight transnational organized crime are briefly analysed below. Section I discusses the above-mentioned existing conventions, outlining some of the modifications that would be required to update them effectively to address contemporary phenomena of transnational organized crime. Section II examines additional multilateral instruments that could be adopted in order to combat transnational organized crime. Finally, section III contains a discussion of the potential benefits and drawbacks arising from the elaboration of a single consolidated framework convention on organized crime.

I. Updating existing instruments

A. Slavery Convention (1926) as amended by the 1953 Protocol and the Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956)

5. The Slavery Convention as amended by the 1953 Protocol defines slavery and slave trading, obligating States parties to take various actions, including criminalization, to suppress those practices. The Supplementary Convention defines a number of practices akin to slavery (including debt bondage, serfdom, marriage practices exploitative of women's labour and exploitation of children's labour by their parents or guardians); it also obligates States parties to

---

[33] The Senior Experts Group also included in its inventory of main international conventions dealing with organized crime the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, No. 7515), as amended by the 1972 Protocol (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 976, No. 14152), the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1019, No. 14956) and the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988 (Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November-20 December 1988, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5). Given that the 1988 Convention is one of the most up-to-date and effective conventions dealing with organized crime and that it effectively supplements the 1961 Convention and the 1971 Convention, the merits of updating any of these instruments are not discussed below.

[34] A/C.3/51/7, annex; see also annex III above.

abolish those practices, criminalize certain specified conduct integral to the perpetuation of slavery and the slave trade and cooperate with each other in carrying out the purposes of the Convention. The conventions have been widely ratified.

6. Neither the Slavery Convention nor the Supplementary Convention as presently drafted deal specifically with transnational organized crime, nor can they readily be interpreted to impose an obligation upon States parties to criminalize such related manifestations of modern organized crime as the exploitation of illegal immigrants by organized criminal groups that have smuggled them across international boundaries, the use by criminal groups of compulsion as part of their perpetuation of the international prostitution trade or the compelling of minors to participate in international pornography rings. However, amendment of these instruments may be of assistance in combating these forms of trafficking in persons.[15]

7. Effective broadening of these conventions will require States to reach agreement both on the need to criminalize a number of additional classes of conduct and on general definitions of those offences. In addition, since both the Slavery Convention and the Supplementary Convention lack specific cooperation mechanisms to suppress such conduct between national law enforcement authorities, supplementation would require the drafting of a number of such mechanisms.[16]

8. On balance, effective modernization would appear to require negotiation of a significant number of new provisions. Negotiating a supplemental instrument could also be complicated if some States regarded the occasion as an opportunity

---

[15] It may be that these and other similar activities engaged in by organized criminal groups also could be appropriately included under the International Forced Labour Convention or the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others, both of which are discussed below.

[16] In addition to cooperation measures frequently provided for in more modern multilateral instruments, such as designating covered offences as extraditable between States parties, non-application of the political offence doctrine and the imposition of general obligations to cooperate, the Senior Experts Group recommended a number of additional mechanisms for consideration, including: providing mutual assistance notwithstanding the absence of dual criminality (recommendation 3); gathering evidence in the manner sought by the requesting State (recommendation 4); strategic coordination of prosecutions and mutual assistance measures where a criminal activity occurs in several countries (recommendation 7); allowing for the possibility of transfer or conditional extradition of nationals (recommendation 10); exchanges of information and personnel between law enforcement agencies of different countries (recommendations 11, 12, 21, 23-28 and 34); witness protection arrangements (recommendations 13-15); use of investigative techniques such as electronic surveillance, undercover operations and controlled deliveries (recommendation 26); confiscation of proceeds of crime (recommendation 30); and monitoring of financial instruments (recommendations 31 and 34).

to reopen the debate on issues resolved at the time the conventions were originally concluded. Given these factors, the Senior Experts Group should weigh whether supplementation would be preferable to the elaboration of a new instrument or instruments to combat these forms of criminal conduct.

B. International Forced Labour Convention (1930)

9. The International Forced Labour Convention limits the conditions under which "forced or compulsory labour" can be required and obligates States parties to suppress and criminalize those forms of compelled labour not sanctioned by the Convention.

10. Although the exploitation of compelled labour by criminal groups described in section I.A above may be violative of the terms of the International Forced Labour Convention in its present form, few States parties have established these forms of exploitation as discrete offences or have provided for enhanced penalties to deter sophisticated criminal groups from committing such offences. Thus, to be an effective means of suppressing the exploitation of persons controlled by organized criminal groups, the Convention would have to be amended accordingly.

11. As in the case of the slavery conventions, given the need to define and punish additional classes of criminal conduct and to include provisions related to law enforcement cooperation, adoption of a supplemental or amended instrument may require as extensive an effort as the elaboration of a separate new instrument or instruments.

C. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others (1949)

12. The Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others obligates States parties to criminalize the procuring of persons to engage in prostitution and other conduct integral to the propagation of prostitution. It contains more extensive provisions for law enforcement cooperation than the Slavery Convention or the International Forced Labour Convention, including provisions requiring: (a) covered offences to be considered extraditable between States parties; (b) prosecution of offenders by a State party that declines to extradite on the basis of the offender's nationality; (c) cooperation by States parties (subject to domestic law) in the execution of letters of request regarding covered offences; (d) establishment of central authorities to coordinate implementation of the Convention and cooperate with other States; and (e) sharing of information regarding offences and offenders between States parties.

13. Effective updating of this Convention could prove difficult, given that a significant number of States have not ratified it. In any case, substantial modification would appear to be required to ensure the broad criminalization of such phenomena as the exploitation of minors in conjunction with the production of pornographic materials or sex tourism and to ensure that States parties are

obligated to impose suitably enhanced punishment on participants in organized criminal schemes to engage in such conduct. Moreover, although this instrument focuses to a greater extent on cooperation mechanisms than either the Slavery Convention or the International Forced Labour Convention, many additional forms of cooperation recommended by the Senior Experts Group as useful in fighting transnational organized crime are not presently included and a number of them could presumably be inserted.[37]

D. International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency (1929)

14. The International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency obligates States parties to criminalize counterfeiting or alteration of domestic or foreign currency, as well as the distribution of counterfeit or altered currency. It also provides for: (a) confiscation of such currency; (b) covered offences to be considered extraditable between States parties; (c) prosecution of offenders by States parties that decline to extradite on the basis of the offender's nationality; (d) cooperation between States parties (subject to domestic law) in the execution of letters of request regarding covered offences; (e) establishment of central authorities to coordinate implementation of the Convention and cooperate with States; and (f) sharing of information between States parties regarding offenders and evidence of offences.

15. The application of this instrument is limited in scope to counterfeit or altered currency. Significant supplementation or the adoption of new instruments would be required to address such issues of concern as counterfeiting or alteration of credit cards, electronic transfers and other negotiable instruments and the need to provide for enhanced cooperation mechanisms in combating such criminal conduct.

E. Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (1971)

16. The Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property obligates States parties to suppress illicit traffic in archaeological, historical, artistic and other property designated by States as being of particular cultural value, without explicitly requiring criminalization of proscribed conduct. The Convention also provides for, inter alia, confiscation and return of cultural property to States parties from which it was removed and the designation of authorities for implementation of the Convention.

17. Effectively updating this Convention could prove difficult in practice, for a significant number of States have not ratified it. In addition, given that it contains no explicit criminalization or law enforcement cooperation

---

[37] For a more complete inventory of potential cooperation mechanisms that could be provided for, see footnote 36.

requirements, modernization would appear to entail as much effort as would the creation of new instruments governing other related areas.

F. International Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance for the Prevention, Investigation and Repression of Customs Offences (1977)

18. The International Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance for the Prevention, Investigation and Repression of Customs Offences provides a broad framework for joint investigation, exchanges of information and other mutual assistance between States parties in connection with violations of customs laws, including smuggling of narcotics, cultural property and other contraband. It does not obligate States to criminalize particular forms of conduct.

19. The Convention has been ratified by three members of the political group of eight (P-8) and 31 other States. Although additional States have expressed an interest in ratifying the Convention since it was amended in 1995 to permit contracting parties to make reservations, the permitting of reservations may hamper any effort to achieve broad implementation. Nonetheless, many of the forms of cooperation set forth in the Convention are useful mechanisms for international law enforcement and can serve as examples of cooperation mechanisms that might be drafted for insertion in other instruments governing transnational smuggling.

II. Adoption of instruments addressing other forms of criminal conduct

20. In addition to supplementing and modernizing existing conventions, Senior Experts Group recommendation 36 calls for consideration of the adoption of new instruments to respond to developing needs in the fight against transnational organized crime. In a number of other recommendations, the Senior Experts Group has already identified additional forms of criminal conduct for which there is a need for a concerted international law enforcement response to the infiltration of organized crime. Similar expressions of concern have been made in other international forums and by various States in their individual efforts to combat transnational organized crime. Some of the areas in which the need for action may be particularly acute and regarding which the Senior Experts Group may wish to evaluate the utility of adopting a new instrument or instruments are as follows:

(a) Extortion and other violent crimes carried out by organized groups for profit;

(b) Bribery and other corrupt practices;

(c) Smuggling of and trafficking in nuclear materials for weapons of mass destruction;

(d) Intellectual property violations;

(e) Money laundering;

(f) Crimes involving computers and other advanced technologies;

(g) Illicit trafficking in firearms;

(h) Auto theft.

21. Such an evaluation will require weighing such factors as the likelihood of elaborating an instrument that will enjoy widespread acceptance within the international community, the likely degree of effectiveness the instrument will have in aiding the suppression of the targeted conduct and the commitment of time and resources that will be required to elaborate a series of instruments governing these types of criminal conduct.[18]

III. Adoption of a single instrument on transnational organized crime

22. Consideration could also be given to the alternative approach of adopting a single integrated instrument on various forms of criminal conduct engaged in by transnational groups. As previously stated, the Government of Poland introduced such a draft convention at the fifty-first session of the General Assembly.

23. The major advantage of a single instrument creating obligations to criminalize and cooperate in combating a number of categories of conduct is the advantage it offers in terms of preserving time and resources over the negotiation of a series of new or supplemental instruments, each addressing a limited class of criminal conduct. Since each separate convention would likely contain a number of similar (if not identical) provisions, for example with regard to extradition of fugitives, legal assistance and other cooperation mechanisms, negotiation of a single instrument could be expected to save considerable time and avoid needless renegotiation of such common provisions. In addition, the promulgation of a single instrument would appear useful to arriving at an integrated response to particularly serious forms of transnational organized crime, since specialists in a number of law enforcement disciplines would collaborate in devising an effective unified strategy for cooperation in combating these phenomena and since a single secretariat administering the convention would be more easily able to identify and correct practical problems arising in the implementation of the strategy.

24. The structure of the convention itself could take several possible forms. One approach could be for it to address a specific list of offences of the type set forth in section II, above. Another approach might be to draft a convention that, like the proposal by the Government of Poland, seeks to define the term "organized crime", and to include specific types of conduct under its rubric.

---

[18] A supplemental or alternative approach to dealing with some of the areas listed above could be the development and widespread dissemination of model legislation, accompanied by multilateral and bilateral technical assistance to facilitate enactment and enforcement of the new laws.

25. The former approach, by virtue of being less complex, may enjoy some advantages over the latter. Initially, it may be difficult to arrive at a definition of "organized crime" that enjoys widespread acceptance. As illustrated in the inventory of documents prepared by the Senior Experts Group, numerous different definitions of the term "organized crime" have been devised. Given the great diversity among modern criminal groups, reaching a single meaningful definition will likely prove elusive and may interfere with the successful conclusion of the convention. Moreover, great care would have to be taken to ensure that the definition of "organized crime" did not inadvertently legitimize actions by undemocratic Governments to suppress legitimate political opposition.

26. In addition, a number of States may wish the definition of organized crime to encompass terrorism,[39] leading to problematic results. For example, the inclusion of terrorism may lead to an effort to define it more precisely, a task that will be extremely difficult given the traditional divide between those States that consider acts of violence carried out by "national liberation movements" to be permissible and those that wish to proscribe such conduct. The effort to define terrorism will thus divert attention from other issues, and will ultimately not be conducive to achieving consensus. Inclusion of terrorism may also result in duplication of provisions contained in the significant number of existing instruments aimed at combating terrorism.[40]

27. Even if no effort is made to define these terms, there may be some difficulty in reaching agreement on the list of conduct to be proscribed under the convention. The convention may be seen by some States as an opportunity to seek inclusion of modes of criminality as to which there can be at best a marginal claim that they constitute a significant transnational criminal problem. For example, a small number of States have called for conventions to combat illicit international adoption, trafficking in body parts or racial hatred. However, any effort to broaden the convention too greatly would divert focus from types of criminality that need to be addressed most urgently and could also make it more difficult to identify appropriate cooperation mechanisms for combating the conduct proscribed by the convention.

28. The Government of the United States has prepared a discussion draft of a convention for the suppression of transnational organized crime, illustrating how a convention adhering to the recommendations of the Senior Experts Group could be structured. It is hoped that consideration of that document, together with the proposal by Poland, may be useful to the discussion of this issue.

29. It is conceivable that there are other approaches that might be viable in this area, including the elaboration of a single instrument addressing a much more limited list of criminal activities than that described either in the

---

[39] For example, Poland's proposal includes "terrorist acts" as a manifestation of organized crime.

[40] The Senior Expert Group on Terrorism is also currently considering a United States proposal for a United Nations convention for the suppression of terrorist bombings.

discussion draft of the United States, presented below, or in the proposal by Poland, on which there is clear consensus that immediate criminalization and enhanced cooperation are required.

DRAFT CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME

The States Parties to this Convention,

Deeply concerned about the threat posed by the rapid development of transnational organized crime,

Being convinced that the rapid growth and geographical extension of transnational organized crime is a major concern of all countries and that it calls for a concerted response from the international community,

Desiring to conclude an effective international convention directed specifically against serious organized transnational crime,

Have agreed as follows:

Article 1

Offences and sanctions[41]

1. Each State Party shall make punishable by appropriate penalties that take into account their grave nature,[42] the following conduct:

[Insert definition of transnational organized crime, or offences covered by this convention]

2. The provisions of this article shall not affect the obligations regarding the criminalization of offences pursuant to any other multilateral treaty.

---

[41] Title used in article 3 of the 1988 Convention, defining the crimes covered by the Convention.

[42] Many of the offences on the list may already be punishable under the laws of States parties, but without enhanced punishment if a part of organized criminal activity. *It may be necessary to draft additional language to ensure* such enhanced punishment.

Article 2

Establishment of jurisdiction

1. Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences set forth in article 1 when the offence is committed in the territory of that State.

2. A State Party may also establish its jurisdiction over any such offence when:

(a) The alleged offender is a national of that State;

(b) The offence was committed against a national of that State; or

(c) The offence has substantial effects in that State.

3. Each State Party shall also take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over these offences in cases where the alleged offender is present in its territory and it does not extradite or transfer that person for trial pursuant to article 4, paragraph 6, to any of the States Parties that have established their jurisdiction in accordance with paragraph 1 or 2 of this article.

4. This Convention does not exclude the exercise of any criminal jurisdiction established by a State Party in accordance with its domestic law.

5. The provisions of this article shall not affect the obligations with regard to the establishment of jurisdiction over offences pursuant to any other multilateral treaty.

Article 3

Extradite or prosecute

1. The State Party in the territory of which the offender or the alleged offender is found, if it does not extradite that person or transfer that person for trial pursuant to article 4, paragraph 6, shall be obliged, upon request of the State Party seeking extradition or transfer, in cases where article 2 applies without exception whatsoever and whether or not the offence was committed in its territory, to submit the case without delay to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution, through proceedings in accordance with the laws of that State. Those authorities shall take their decision in the same manner as in the case of any other offence of a grave nature under the law of that State.

2. Any person regarding whom proceedings are being carried out in connection with any of the offences set forth in article 1 shall be guaranteed fair treatment at all stages of the proceedings, including enjoyment of all the rights and guarantees provided by the law of the State in the territory of which that person is present.

Article 4

Additional requirements

1. Upon being satisfied that the circumstances so warrant, any State Party in the territory of which the offender or the alleged offender is present shall, in accordance with its laws, take that person into custody or take other measures to ensure that person's presence for such time as is necessary to enable any criminal or extradition proceedings to be instituted. Such State shall immediately make a preliminary inquiry, in accordance with its own laws.[43]

2. Any person regarding whom the measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this article are being taken shall be entitled:

(a) To communicate with the nearest appropriate representative of the State of which that person is a national or which is otherwise entitled to establish such communication or, if that person is a stateless person, the State in the territory of which that person habitually resides;

(b) To be visited by a representative of that State.[44]

3. The rights referred to in paragraph 2 of this article shall be exercised in conformity with the laws and regulations of the State in the territory of which the offender or the alleged offender is present, subject to the provision that the said laws and regulations must enable full effect to be given to the purposes for which the rights accorded under paragraph 1 of this article are intended.

Article 5

Rules relating to extradition[45]

1. The offences set forth in article 1 shall be deemed to be included as extraditable offences in any extradition treaty existing between any of the States Parties. States Parties undertake to include such offences as extraditable offences in every extradition treaty to be concluded between them.

2. If a State Party which makes extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty receives a request for extradition from another State Party with which it has no extradition treaty, the requested State Party may at its option consider this Convention as a legal basis for extradition in respect of the

---

[43] International Convention against the Taking of Hostages (General Assembly resolution 34/146, annex, article 6, paragraph 1).

[44] Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963) (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, No. 8638), article 36.

[45] Senior Experts Group recommendation 10.

offences set forth in article 1. Extradition shall be subject to the other conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

3. States Parties which do not make extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty shall recognize the offences set forth in article 1 as extraditable offences between themselves, subject to the conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

4. The offences set forth in article 1 shall be treated, for the purposes of extradition between States Parties, as if they had been committed not only in the place in which they occurred but also in a place within the jurisdiction of the State Party requesting extradition.[46]

5. For purposes of extradition between the States Parties, none of the offences set forth in article 1 shall be regarded as a political offence or as an offence connected with a political offence or as an offence inspired by political motives.

6. If a State Party denies extradition to another State Party for an offence set forth in article 1 because the person sought is a national of the requested party, the requested party shall, upon request of the requesting party, transfer the person to the requesting party for trial or other proceedings and the person transferred shall be returned to the requested party to serve any sentence imposed in the Requesting Party as a result of the trial or proceedings for which transfer was made.

7. With respect to the offences as defined in this Convention, the provisions of all extradition treaties and arrangements applicable between States Parties are modified as between States Parties to the extent necessary to give effect to the provisions of this Convention.

Article 6

Mutual legal assistance

1. States Parties shall afford one another the greatest measure of assistance in connection with proceedings brought in respect of the offences set forth in article 1, including assistance in obtaining evidence at their disposal necessary for the proceedings.

---

[46] Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation (United Nations, Treaty Series, No. 29004), article 11, paragraph 4.

2. States Parties shall carry out their obligations under paragraph 1 in conformity with any treaties on mutual assistance that may exist between them or pursuant to domestic law.[47]

3. For offences established in accordance with this Convention, a State Party shall not decline to render mutual legal assistance on the ground of bank secrecy or on the ground that there is an absence of dual criminality.[48]

4. States Parties shall adopt measures sufficient to enable a person in the custody of one State Party whose presence in another State Party is requested for purposes of assistance under this Convention to be transferred if the person consents and if the competent authorities of both States agree. For purposes of this paragraph:

(a) The State to which the person is transferred shall have the authority and obligation to keep the person transferred in custody, unless otherwise authorized by the State from which the person was transferred;

(b) The State to which the person is transferred shall return the person to the custody of the State from which the person was transferred as soon as circumstances permit or as otherwise agreed by the competent authorities of both States;

(c) The State to which the person is transferred shall not require the State from which the person was transferred to initiate extradition proceedings for the return of the person;

(d) The person transferred shall receive credit for service of the sentence imposed in the State from which he was transferred for time served in the custody of the State to which he was transferred.

5. In order to ensure the protection of witnesses, States Parties shall, on request, limit disclosure of the addresses or identifying particulars of persons who testify. States Parties shall also adopt measures to permit, upon request, persons to testify by telecommunications or video link or use other modern technology in order to provide testimony to the prosecuting State.[49]

---

[47] Given the potentially broad scope of this Convention and the possibility that it will be open to ratification or accession by any State, narrower legal assistance obligations of the kind set forth here may be appropriate.

[48] Senior Experts Group recommendation 3, see the 1988 Convention, article 7, paragraph 5.

[49] Senior Experts Group recommendation 15.

Article 7

Confiscation[50]

1. States Parties shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to enable confiscation of:

(a) Proceeds derived from offences set forth in article 1 or property the value of which corresponds to that of such proceeds;

(b) Property, equipment or other instrumentalities used in or intended for use in offences set forth in article 1.

2. States Parties shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to enable the identification, freezing or seizure of any item referred to in paragraph 1 of this article for the purpose of eventual confiscation.

3. The State Party that has custody over proceeds or instrumentalities of offences shall dispose of them in accordance with its laws. A Party may transfer all or part of such assets, or the proceeds of their sale to another Party, to the extent permitted by the laws of the transferring Party and upon such terms as it deems appropriate.

4. The provisions of this article shall not be construed to prejudice the rights of third parties.

Article 8

Transfer of proceedings[51]

States Parties shall give consideration to transferring to one another proceedings for criminal prosecution of offences established in accordance with this Convention in cases where such transfer is considered to be in the interests of a proper administration of justice.

Article 9

Other forms of cooperation and assistance[52]

States Parties shall cooperate closely with one another, consistent with their respective domestic legal and administrative systems, to enhance the effectiveness of law enforcement action to combat offences set forth in this Convention. Each State Party shall, in particular, adopt effective measures:

---

[50] Senior Experts Group recommendation 30.

[51] 1988 Convention, article 8.

[52] 1988 Convention, article 9.

(a) For the purposes of carrying out the cooperation and assistance provided for under this Convention, including the making and receiving of requests for cooperation and assistance, to designate a central authority that shall communicate directly with the central authority of other States Parties;[53]

(b) To establish and maintain channels of communication between their competent authorities, agencies and services to facilitate the secure and rapid exchange of information concerning all aspects of the offences set forth in this Convention, including, if the States Parties concerned deem it appropriate, links with other criminal activities;[54]

(c) To cooperate with one another in conducting inquiries, with respect to offences set forth in this Convention, concerning:

(i) The identity, whereabouts and activities of persons suspected of involvement in the offences set forth in this Convention;

(ii) The movement of proceeds or property derived from the commission of such offences;

(d) In appropriate cases and if not contrary to domestic law, to establish joint teams, taking into account the need to protect the security of persons and operations, in order to carry out the provisions of this paragraph. Officials of any State Party participating in such teams shall act as authorized by the appropriate authorities of the Party in whose territory the operation is to take place; in all such cases, the States Parties involved shall ensure that the sovereignty of the Party on whose territory the operation is to take place is fully respected;

(e) To provide, when appropriate, necessary items or quantities of substances for analytical or investigative purposes;

(f) To establish arrangements for electronic surveillance, undercover operations and controlled deliveries[55] with a view to gathering evidence and taking legal action against persons involved in the offences set forth in this Convention;[56]

(g) To provide protection for persons who have given or agreed to give information or evidence, or who participate or who have agreed to participate in an investigation or prosecution of an offence established in accordance with this Convention, and for the relatives and associates of such persons who require protection because of risks to the security of the person. States

---

[53] Senior Experts Group recommendation 5.

[54] Senior Experts Group recommendation 5.

[55] 1988 Convention, article 11.

[56] Senior Experts Group recommendation 26.

Parties should consider, as appropriate, reciprocal arrangements for the protection of witnesses and other endangered persons;[57]

(h) To permit the competent authorities, when considering punishment, to consider as a mitigating factor the extent of cooperation provided by an accused in the investigation and prosecution of other persons or the ability and intention of the accused to provide such cooperation;

(i) To facilitate effective coordination between their competent agencies and services and to promote the exchange of personnel and other experts, including the posting of liaison officers.

Article 10

Law enforcement training[58]

1. Each State Party shall, to the extent necessary, initiate, develop or improve a specific training programme for its law enforcement personnel, including prosecutors and investigating magistrates, and other personnel charged with the suppression of the offences set forth in this Convention. Such programmes shall deal, in particular, with the following:

(a) Methods used in the detection and suppression of the offences set forth in this Convention;

(b) Techniques used by persons suspected of involvement in offences set forth in this Convention;

(c) Detection and monitoring the movements of proceeds, property and instrumentalities derived from offences set forth in this Convention and methods used for the transfer, concealment or disguise of such proceeds, property and instrumentalities;

(d) Collection of evidence;

(e) Modern law enforcement techniques.

2. States Parties shall assist one another to plan and implement research and training programmes designed to share expertise in the areas referred to in paragraph 1 of this article and, to this end, shall also, when appropriate, use

---

[57] Senior Experts Group recommendations 13, 14 and 15.

[58] 1988 Convention, article 9, paragraphs 2 and 3, and Senior Experts Group recommendation 11.

regional and international conferences and seminars to promote cooperation and stimulate discussion on problems of mutual concern.[59]

3. States Parties shall promote other techniques for mutual education that will facilitate extradition and mutual legal assistance, including language training, secondments and exchanges between personnel in central authorities or agencies with relevant responsibilities.[60]

Article 11

Transparency of transactions[61]

1. States Parties shall implement measures to detect and monitor the physical transportation of cash and bearer negotiable instruments at the border, subject to strict safeguards to ensure proper use of information and without impeding in any way the freedom of legitimate capital movements.

2. In order to improve understanding and information on the detection of financial networks linked to transnational organized crime, States Parties shall take measures to gather financial information and, as much as possible, facilitate the exchange of such information, including exchanges between law enforcement agencies and regulatory bodies.

Article 12

Other forms of cooperation

1. States Parties shall cooperate closely in the prevention, investigation and prosecution of the offences set forth in article 1. In particular, they shall, in accordance with their domestic laws or pursuant to bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements:

(a) Take all appropriate measures to prevent preparation in their respective territories for the commission of those offences within or outside their territories;

(b) Exchange information in accordance with their national law and coordinate administrative and other measures taken as appropriate to prevent the commission of offences set forth in article 1.

---

[59] Senior Experts Group recommendation 11, which states: "Training courses, joint seminars and information exchange sessions should be encouraged on a bilateral, regional and worldwide basis." Senior Experts Group recommendations 25 and 26 are also relevant.

[60] Senior Experts Group recommendations 11, 12 and 21.

[61] Senior Experts Group recommendations 31 and 34.

2. States Parties shall consider the establishment of a common data bank concerning transnational organized crime, including information gathered regarding activities of criminal groups, their members and convicted persons.[62]

Article 13

Application of cooperation provisions to other multilateral conventions

States Parties may apply articles 3 to 12 of this Convention to other multilateral conventions to the extent agreed between States Parties.

Article 14

Dispute settlement

1. Any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention which cannot be settled through negotiation within a reasonable time shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration. If, within six months from the date of the request for arbitration, those States Parties are unable to agree on the organization of the arbitration, any one of those Parties may refer the dispute to the International Court of Justice by request in conformity with the Statute of the Court.

2. Each State may at the time of ratification or accession thereto declare that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of this article. The other States Parties shall not be bound by paragraph 1 of this article with respect to any State Party which has made such a reservation.

3. Any State which has made a reservation in accordance with paragraph 2 of this article may at any time withdraw that reservation by notification to [the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

Article 15

Signature, ratification, accession

1. This Convention shall be open for signature by all States until [date] at [United Nations Headquarters in New York].

2. This Convention is subject to ratification. The instruments of ratification [shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

---

[62] See the draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime, article 12, paragraph 3, contained in annex III above.

3. This Convention is subject to accession by any State. The instruments of accession shall be deposited with [the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

Article 16

Entry into force

1. This Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day following the date of deposit of the [twenty-fifth] instrument of ratification or accession with [the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

2. For each State ratifying or acceding to the Convention after the deposit of the [twenty-fifth] instrument of ratification or accession, the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after deposit by such State of its instrument of ratification or accession.

Article 17

Denunciation

1. Any State Party may denounce this Convention by written notification to [the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

2. Denunciation shall take effect one year following the date on which notification is received by [the Secretary-General of the United Nations].

Article 18

Languages and depositary

The original of this Convention, of which the [Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish] texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited with [the Secretary-General of the United Nations], who shall send certified copies thereof to all States.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned, being duly authorized thereto by their respective Governments, have signed this Convention, opened for signature at [place] on [date].

ANNEX VI

Views of the Government of Germany on an alternative solution for a United Nations convention on combating organized transnational crime

1. Organized transnational crime threatens both the economic and political structures of States. It is a global menace endangering industrial and

developing societies alike and requires a global response. The draft United Nations framework convention against organized crime, submitted to the General Assembly by the Government of Poland,[63] offers a good basis for discussion of this urgent problem by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice.

2. So far, national and international efforts to produce a workable definition of organized transnational crime have been unsuccessful. The definition contained in the draft United Nations framework convention, article 1, is, from the perspective of the Government of Germany, in part too narrow, in part too broad. Germany considers organized transnational crime not as a clearly definable criminal offence but as a complex phenomenon of criminality. Elements of a description could probably be agreed upon and set out in the preamble of such a convention. It is problematic even to give a paradigmatic list of specific crimes because, whereas everybody can agree on what constitutes murder, there is no international consensus on what constitutes, for example, corruption of public officials. This would lead to insuperable difficulties in penalizing such criminal behaviour and establishing jurisdiction.

3. From the point of view of the Government of Germany, these difficulties could be circumvented by the following alternative solution:

(a) The elaboration of a comprehensive United Nations convention on organized transnational crime should be based on the Model Treaty on Extradition[64] and the Model Treaty on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters;[65]

(b) Such a convention should refrain from reference to specific crimes but the point of referral would be the framework given by the Model Treaty on Extradition, article 2, at least for extraditable offences. For the granting of legal assistance, probably no specific point of referral would be necessary;

(c) A gap in the international armoury against organized transnational crime seems to stem from the fact that some legal systems do not penalize criminal behaviour that is not directly aimed at a concrete crime and therefore cannot qualify as participation in a crime, whereas the laws of Germany, France and Italy, for example, penalize participation on the basis of membership in a "criminal association". The convention ought to contain an obligation to penalize on these lines. This could follow the formulation of the Convention, drawn up on the basis of article K.3 of the Treaty on European Union, relating to extradition between the member States of the European Union of 27 September 1996, article 3, on conspiracy and association to commit offences:

> Each party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence under its domestic law, when committed intentionally, the participation as an accomplice in or the organization or direction of

---

[63] Annex III above.

[64] General Assembly resolution 45/116, annex.

[65] General Assembly resolution 45/117, annex.

others to commit an offence in the field of drug trafficking or other forms of organized crime.

(d) Indispensable for an effective fight at the national and international levels against organized transnational crime are adequate provisions for skimming off the proceeds of crime. The convention should, therefore, oblige Member States to legislate to this effect;

(e) In all international forums, there is general agreement that the scope for the imposition of penalties for money laundering in connection with drug trafficking is unsatisfactory. The convention should provide that, in principle, any other serious offence, in addition to drug-related offences, can be considered a predicate offence for money laundering;

(f) Following the model of the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988,[66] the convention should contain provisions for the domestic implementation of foreign forfeiture measures;

(g) A precondition for fighting transnational organized crime on a national or international level is an effective witness protection programme (see the relevant European Union recommendations, the forty recommendations of the Senior Experts Group on Transnational Organized Crime and the idea underlying article 13 of the draft United Nations framework convention;

(h) In addition, the convention should make provision for police cooperation and training (see article 11 of the draft United Nations framework convention and article 9 of the 1988 Convention);

(i) Finally, some new ideas put forward by the Council of Europe, the European Union and other international forums in the area of extradition and mutual assistance could be taken up in a United Nations convention.

DRAFT RESOLUTION II

Crime prevention and criminal justice measures to eliminate violence against women

The General Assembly,

Bearing in mind its resolution 48/104 of 20 December 1993, in which it proclaimed the Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women, and recalling the definition of violence against women contained in articles 1 and 2 of the Declaration,

---

[66] Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November-20 December 1988, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5).

Strongly condemning all forms of violence against women,

Stressing that the effective implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women[67] contributes to the elimination of violence against women and that the implementation of the Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women strengthens and complements that process,

Recalling the Beijing Declaration[68] and Platform for Action[69] adopted by the Fourth World Conference on Women, held at Beijing from 4 to 15 September 1995, and, in particular, the determination of Governments to prevent and eliminate all forms of violence against women and girls,

Recognizing the need to fully implement the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice and to develop strategies and practical measures in that field,

Recalling Commission on Human Rights resolution 1997/44 of 11 April 1997 on the elimination of violence against women,[70]

Welcoming the renewal of the mandate by the Commission on Human Rights of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences,

Recalling the conclusions and recommendations of the Special Rapporteur, stressed by the Commission on Human Rights in its resolution 1997/44, that States have an affirmative duty to promote and protect the human rights of women and must exercise due diligence to prevent violence against women,

Affirming Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/12 of 23 July 1996 on the elimination of violence against women,

Expressing deep concern about the high social, health and economic costs to the individual and society that are associated with violence against women,

Bearing in mind that criminal justice agencies should work closely with practitioners in other sectors, including health, social services and education, and with members of the community to deal with the problem of violence against women,

---

[67] General Assembly resolution 34/180, annex.

[68] Report of the Fourth World Conference on Women, Beijing, 4-15 September 1995 (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.96.IV.13), chap. I, resolution 1, annex I.

[69] Ibid., annex II.

[70] Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, 1997, Supplement No. 3 (E/1997/23), chap. II.

Acknowledging the valuable contribution made by non-governmental organizations, organizations seeking women's equality and community agencies in working towards the elimination of violence against women,

1. Urges Member States to review and evaluate their legislation and legal principles, procedures, policies and practices relating to criminal matters, in a manner consistent with their legal systems, to determine if they have a negative impact on women and, if they have such an impact, to modify them in order to ensure that women are treated fairly by the criminal justice system;

2. Also urges Member States to undertake strategies, develop policies and disseminate materials to promote women's safety in the home and in society at large, including specific crime prevention strategies that reflect the realities of women's lives and address their distinct needs in such areas as social development, environmental design and educational prevention programmes;

3. Further urges Member States to promote an active and visible policy of integrating a gender perspective into the development and implementation of all policies and programmes in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice which may assist in the elimination of violence against women so that, before decisions are taken, an analysis may be made to ensure that they entail no unfair gender bias;

4. Calls upon the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, through the Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Division of the Secretariat and the institutes comprising the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, to cooperate with all relevant organs, bodies and other entities of the United Nations system and to coordinate their activities on issues relating to violence against women and to the removal of gender bias in the administration of criminal justice;

5. Calls upon the institutes comprising the Programme network to continue training in the field of violence against women and to consolidate and disseminate information on successful intervention models and preventive programmes at the national level;

6. Requests the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to ensure that Strategies for Confronting Domestic Violence: a Resource Manual,[71] which has been published in English, is published in the other official languages of the United Nations, subject to the availability of regular budget or extrabudgetary funds, and acknowledges the contribution of Canada in that respect;

7. Calls upon Governments, international organizations and non-governmental organizations, as appropriate, to translate Strategies for Confronting Domestic Violence: a Resource Manual into local languages and to ensure its wide dissemination for use in training and education programmes;

---

[71] ST/CSDHA/20.

8. Takes note of the report of the Secretary-General on the elimination of violence against women,[12] including the revision of the draft practical measures, strategies and activities in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice for the elimination of violence against women, based on comments received from Member States, United Nations entities, including specialized agencies, and associate entities, as well as intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations;

9. Adopts the Model Strategies and Practical Measures on the Elimination of Violence against Women in the Field of Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, annexed to the present resolution, as a model of guidelines to be used by Governments in their efforts to address, within the criminal justice system, the various manifestations of violence against women;

10. Urges Member States to be guided by the Model Strategies and Practical Measures in developing and undertaking strategies and practical measures to eliminate violence against women and in promoting women's equality within the criminal justice system;

11. Requests the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, through the Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Division of the Secretariat, to assist Member States at their request in utilizing the Model Strategies and Practical Measures;

12. Calls upon the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to continue to consider the elimination of violence against women within the training and technical assistance efforts of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme;

13. Requests the Secretary-General to ensure the wide dissemination of the Model Strategies and Practical Measures, with a view to promoting their use;

14. Also requests the Secretary-General to transmit the Model Strategies and Practical Measures to the relevant United Nations organizations and bodies, such as the Commission on the Status of Women, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, the Commission on Human Rights, including the Subcommission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, and the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and its consequences, and invites those organizations and bodies to develop strategies and practical measures on the elimination of violence against women on their areas of expertise;

15. Invites the Economic and Social Council to consider including the question of violence against women at the high-level segments of one of its forthcoming sessions, in the context of its discussion on the human rights of women;

---

[12] E/CN.15/1997/11 and Add.1.

16. Requests the Secretary-General to submit to the General Assembly at its fifty-fourth session, through the Economic and Social Council, a report on the implementation of the present resolution.

ANNEX

Model Strategies and Practical Measures on the Elimination of Violence against Women in the Field of Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice

1. The multifaceted nature of violence against women suggests that different strategies are required for different manifestations of violence and the various settings in which it occurs. The practical measures, strategies and activities described below can be introduced in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice to deal with the problem of violence against women. Except where otherwise specified, the term "women" encompasses "girl children".

2. Recalling the definition of violence against women contained in the Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women[73] and reiterated in the Platform for Action adopted by the Fourth World Conference on Women, held at Beijing from 4 to 15 September 1995,[74] the Model Strategies and Practical Measures on the Elimination of Violence against Women in the Field of Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice build on the measures adopted by Governments in the Platform for Action, bearing in mind that some groups of women are especially vulnerable to violence.

3. The Model Strategies and Practical Measures specifically acknowledge the need for an active policy of bringing into the mainstream a gender perspective in all policies and programmes related to violence against women and of achieving gender equality and equal and fair access to justice, as well as establishing the goal of gender balance in areas of decision-making related to the elimination of violence against women. The Model Strategies and Practical Measures should be applied as guidelines in a manner consistent with relevant international instruments, including the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women,[75] the Convention on the Rights of the Child[76] and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,[77] with a view to furthering their fair and effective implementation.

---

[73] General Assembly resolution 48/104.

[74] Report of the Fourth World Conference on Women, Beijing, 4-15 September 1995 (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.96.IV.3), chap. I, resolution 1, annex II.

[75] General Assembly resolution 34/180, annex.

[76] General Assembly resolution 44/25, annex.

[77] General Assembly resolution 2200 A (XXI), annex.

4. The Model Strategies and Practical Measures should be implemented by Member States and other entities, without prejudice to the principle of gender equality before the law, in order to facilitate the efforts by Governments to deal with, within the criminal justice system, the various manifestations of violence against women.

5. The Model Strategies and Practical Measures are aimed at providing de jure and de facto equality between women and men. The Model Strategies and Practical Measures do not give preferential treatment to women but are aimed at ensuring that any inequalities or forms of discrimination that women face in achieving access to justice, particularly in respect of acts of violence, are redressed.

I. CRIMINAL LAW

6. Member States are urged:

(a) To periodically review, evaluate and revise their laws, codes and procedures, especially their criminal laws, to ensure their value and effectiveness in eliminating violence against women and remove provisions that allow for or condone violence against women;

(b) To review, evaluate and revise their criminal and civil laws, within the framework of their national legal systems, in order to ensure that all acts of violence against women are prohibited and, if not, to adopt measures to do so;

(c) To review, evaluate and revise their criminal laws in order to ensure that:

(i) Persons who are brought before the courts on judicial matters in respect of violent crimes or who are convicted of such crimes can be restricted in their possession and use of firearms and other regulated weapons, within the framework of their national legal systems;

(ii) Individuals can be prohibited or restrained, within the framework of their national legal systems, from harassing, intimidating or threatening women.

II. CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

7. Member States are urged to review, evaluate and revise their criminal procedure, as appropriate, in order to ensure that:

(a) The police have, with judicial authorization where required by national law, adequate powers to enter premises and conduct arrests in cases of violence against women, including confiscation of weapons;

(b) The primary responsibility for initiating prosecutions lies with prosecution authorities and does not rest with women subjected to violence;

(c) Women subjected to violence have an opportunity to testify in court proceedings equal to that of other witnesses and that measures are available to facilitate such testimony and to protect their privacy;

(d) Rules and principles of defence do not discriminate against women, and such defences as honour or provocation do not allow perpetrators of violence against women to escape all criminal responsibility;

(e) Perpetrators who commit acts of violence against women while voluntarily under the influence of alcohol or drugs are not absolved of all criminal or other responsibility;

(f) Evidence of prior acts of violence, abuse, stalking and exploitation by the perpetrator is considered during court proceedings, in accordance with the principles of national criminal law;

(g) The courts, subject to the national constitution of their State, have the authority to issue protection and restraining orders in cases of violence against women, including removal of the perpetrator from the domicile, prohibiting further contact with the victim and other affected parties, inside and outside the domicile, and to impose penalties for breaches of these orders;

(h) Measures can be taken when necessary to ensure the safety of victims and their families and to protect them from intimidation and retaliation;

(i) Safety risks are taken into account in decisions concerning non-custodial or quasi-custodial sentences, the granting of bail, conditional release, parole or probation.

III. POLICE

8. Member States are urged, within the framework of their national legal systems:

(a) To ensure that the applicable provisions of laws, codes and procedures related to violence against women are consistently enforced in such a way that all criminal acts of violence against women are recognized and responded to accordingly by the criminal justice system;

(b) To develop investigative techniques that do not degrade women subjected to violence and minimize intrusion, while maintaining standards for the collection of the best evidence;

(c) To ensure that police procedures, including decisions on the arrest, detention and terms of any form of release of the perpetrator, take into account the need for the safety of the victim and others related through family, socially or otherwise and that these procedures also prevent further acts of violence;

(d) To empower the police to respond promptly to incidents of violence against women;

(e) To ensure that the exercise of police powers is undertaken according to the rule of law and codes of conduct and that the police may be held accountable for any infringement thereof;

(f) To encourage women to join police forces, including at the operational level.

IV. SENTENCING AND CORRECTIONS

9. Member States are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To review, evaluate and revise sentencing policies and procedures in order to ensure that they meet the goals of:

(i) Holding offenders accountable for their acts related to violence against women;

(ii) Stopping violent behaviour;

(iii) Taking into account the impact on victims and their family members of sentences imposed on perpetrators who are members of their families;

(iv) Promoting sanctions that are comparable to those for other violent crimes;

(b) To ensure that a woman subjected to violence is notified of any release of the offender from detention or imprisonment where the safety of the victim in such disclosure outweighs invasion of the offender's privacy;

(c) To take into account in the sentencing process the severity of the physical and psychological harm and the impact of victimization, including through victim impact statements where such practices are permitted by law;

(d) To make available to the courts through legislation a full range of sentencing dispositions to protect the victim, other affected persons and society from further violence;

(e) To ensure that the sentencing judge is encouraged to recommend treatment of the offender at the time of sentencing;

(f) To ensure that there are appropriate measures in place to eliminate violence against women who are detained for any reason;

(g) To develop and evaluate offender treatment programmes for different types of offenders and offender profiles;

(h) To protect the safety of victims and witnesses before, during and after criminal proceedings.

V. VICTIM SUPPORT AND ASSISTANCE

10. Member States are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To make available to women who have been subjected to violence information on rights and remedies and on how to obtain them, in addition to information about participating in criminal proceedings and the scheduling, progress and ultimate disposition of the prceedings;

(b) To encourage and assist women subjected to violence in lodging and following through on formal complaints;

(c) To ensure that women subjected to violence receive, through formal and informal procedures, prompt and fair redress for the harm that they have suffered, including the right to seek restitution or compensation from the offenders or the State;

(d) To provide for court mechanisms and procedures that are accessible and sensitive to the needs of women subjected to violence and that ensure the fair processing of cases;

(e) To establish a registration system for judicial protection and restraining orders, where such orders are permitted by national law, so that police or criminal justice officials can quickly determine whether such an order is in force.

VI. HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

11. Member States, in cooperation with the private sector, relevant professional associations, foundations, non-governmental and community organizations, including organizations seeking women's equality, and research institutes are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To establish, fund and coordinate a sustainable network of accessible facilities and services for emergency and temporary residential accommodation for women and their children who are at risk of becoming or who have been victims of violence;

(b) To establish, fund and coordinate services such as toll-free information lines, professional multi-disciplinary counselling and crisis intervention services and support groups in order to benefit women who are victims of violence and their children;

(c) To design and sponsor programmes to caution against and prevent alcohol and substance abuse, given the frequent presence of alcohol and substance abuse in incidents of violence against women;

(d) To establish better linkages between medical services, both private and emergency, and criminal justice agencies for purposes of reporting, recording and responding to acts of violence against women;

(e) To develop model procedures to help participants in the criminal justice system to deal with women subjected to violence;

(f) To establish, where possible, specialized units with persons from relevant disciplines especially trained to deal with the complexities and victim sensitivities involved in cases of violence against women.

VII. TRAINING

12. Member States, in cooperation with non-governmental organizations, including organizations seeking women's equality, and in collaboration with relevant professional associations, are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To provide for or encourage mandatory cross-cultural and gender-sensitivity training modules for police, criminal justice officials, practitioners and professionals involved in the criminal justice system that deal with the unacceptability of violence against women, its impact and consequences and that promote an adequate response to the issue of violence against women;

(b) To ensure adequate training, sensitivity and education of police, criminal justice officials, practitioners and professionals involved in the criminal justice system regarding all relevant human rights instruments;

(c) To encourage professional associations to develop enforceable standards of practice and behaviour for practitioners involved in the criminal justice system, which promote justice and equality for women.

VIII. RESEARCH AND EVALUATION

13. Member States and the institutes comprising the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, relevant entities of the United Nations system, other relevant international organizations, research institutes, non-governmental organizations, including organizations seeking women's equality, are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To develop crime surveys on the nature and extent of violence against women;

(b) To gather data and information on a gender-disaggregated basis for analysis and use, together with existing data, in needs assessment, decision-making and policy-making in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice, in particular concerning:

(i) The different forms of violence against women, its causes and consequences;

(ii) The extent to which economic deprivation and exploitation are linked to violence against women;

(iii) The relationship between the victim and the offender;

(iv) The rehabilitative or anti-recidivistic effect of various types of intervention on the individual offender and on the reduction of violence against women;

(v) The use of firearms, drugs and alcohol, particularly in cases of violence against women in situations of domestic violence;

(vi) The relationship between victimization or exposure to violence and subsequent violent activity;

(c) To monitor and issue annual reports on the incidence of violence against women, arrest and clearance rates, prosecution and case disposition of the offenders;

(d) To evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of the criminal justice system in fulfilling the needs of women subjected to violence.

IX. CRIME PREVENTION MEASURES

14. Member States and the private sector, relevant professional associations, foundations, non-governmental and community organizations, including organizations seeking women's equality, and research institutes are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To develop and implement relevant and effective public awareness, public education and school programmes that prevent violence against women by promoting equality, cooperation, mutual respect and shared responsibilities between women and men;

(b) To develop multidisciplinary and gender-sensitive approaches within public and private entities that participate in the elimination of violence against women, especially through partnerships between law enforcement officials and the services that are specialized in the protection of women victims of violence;

(c) To set up outreach programmes for offenders or persons identified as potential offenders in order to promote the peaceful resolution of conflicts, the management and control of anger and attitude modification about gender roles and relations;

(d) To set up outreach programmes and offer information to women, including victims of violence, about gender roles, the human rights of women and the social, health, legal and economic aspects of violence against women, in order to empower women to protect themselves against all forms of violence;

(e) To develop and disseminate information on the different forms of violence against women and the availability of programmes to deal with that problem, including programmes concerning the peaceful resolution of conflicts,

in a manner appropriate to the audience concerned, including in educational institutions at all levels;

(f) To support initiatives of organizations seeking women's equality and non-governmental organizations to raise public awareness of the issue of violence against women and to contribute to its elimination.

15. Member States and the media, media associations, media self-regulatory bodies, schools and other relevant partners, while respecting the freedom of the media, are urged, as appropriate, to develop public awareness campaigns and appropriate measures and mechanisms, such as codes of ethics and self-regulatory measures on media violence, aimed at enhancing respect for the rights of women and discouraging both discrimination against women and stereotyping of women.

X. INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

16. Member States and United Nations bodies and institutes are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To exchange information concerning successful intervention models and preventive programmes in eliminating violence against women and to compile a directory of those models;

(b) To cooperate and collaborate at the regional and international levels with relevant entities to prevent violence against women and to promote measures to effectively bring perpetrators to justice, through mechanisms of international cooperation and assistance in accordance with national law;

(c) To contribute to and support the United Nations Development Fund for Women in its activities to eliminate violence against women.

17. Member States are urged:

(a) To limit the extent of any reservations to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women to those that are formulated as precisely and as narrowly as possible and that are not incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention;

(b) To condemn all violations of the human rights of women in situations of armed conflict, to recognize them as being violations of international human rights and humanitarian law and to call for a particularly effective response to violations of that kind, including in particular murder, systematic rape, sexual slavery and forced pregnancy;

(c) To work actively towards ratification of or accession to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, for the States that are still not parties to it, so that universal ratification can be achieved by the year 2000;

(d) To give full consideration to integrating a gender perspective in the drafting of the statute of the international criminal court, particularly in respect of women who are victims of violence;

(e) To cooperate with and assist the Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on violence against women, its causes and consequences in the performance of his or her mandated tasks and duties, to supply all information requested and to respond to the Special Rapporteur's visits and communications.

XI. FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITIES

18. Member States, United Nations bodies, subject to the availability of extrabudgetary funds, the institutes comprising the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, other relevant international organizations, research institutes, and non-governmental organizations, including organizations seeking women's equality, are urged, as appropriate:

(a) To encourage the translation of the Model Strategies and Practical Measures into local languages and to ensure its wide dissemination for use in training and education programmes;

(b) To utilize the Model Strategies and Practical Measures as a basis, a policy reference and a practical guide for activities aimed at eliminating violence against women;

(c) To assist Governments, at their request, in reviewing, evaluating and revising their criminal justice systems, including their criminal legislation, on the basis of the Model Strategies and Practical Measures;

(d) To support the technical cooperation activities of the institutes comprising the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network in eliminating violence against women;

(e) To develop coordinated national, regional and subregional plans and programmes to put the Model Strategies and Practical Measures into effect;

(f) To design standard training programmes and manuals for the police and criminal justice officials, based on the Model Strategies and Practical Measures;

(g) To periodically review and monitor, at the national and international levels, progress made in terms of plans, programmes and initiatives to eliminate violence against women in the context of the Model Strategies and Practical Measures.

DRAFT RESOLUTION III

International cooperation against corruption and bribery in international commercial transactions

The General Assembly,

Disturbed by the bribery of public officials by individuals and enterprises of other States, in relation to international commercial transactions,

Convinced that such practices undermine the integrity of state bureaucracies and weaken social and economic policies by promoting corruption in the public sector, thus diminishing its credibility,

Convinced that the fight against corruption must be supported by sincere international cooperation efforts,

Recalling its resolution 3514 (XXX) of 15 December 1975, in which it, inter alia, condemned all corrupt practices, including bribery, by transnational corporations and other corporations, their intermediaries and others involved, in violation of the laws and regulations in host countries, reaffirmed the right of any State to adopt legislation and to investigate and take appropriate legal action, in accordance with its national laws and regulations, against such corrupt practices and called upon all Governments to cooperate to prevent corrupt practices, including bribery,

Recalling Economic and Social Council resolution 1995/14 of 24 July 1995 on action against corruption,

Recalling its resolution 50/225 of 19 April 1996 on public administration and development,

Recalling in particular its resolution 51/59 of 12 December 1996, in which it adopted the International Code of Conduct for Public Officials, annexed thereto, and recommended it to Member States as a tool to guide their efforts against corruption,

Recalling that, in its resolution 51/191 of 16 December 1996, it adopted the United Nations Declaration against Corruption and Bribery in International Commercial Transactions,

Recalling also that, in its resolution 51/191, it requested the Economic and Social Council and its subsidiary bodies, in particular the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, to examine ways to further the implementation of that resolution and the United Nations Declaration against Corruption and Bribery in International Commercial Transactions, to keep the issue of corruption and bribery in international commercial transactions under regular review and to promote the effective implementation of that resolution,

Taking note of the report of the Secretary-General on action against corruption and bribery[78] and of the report of the Expert Group Meeting on Corruption, held at Buenos Aires from 17 to 21 March 1997,[79]

Welcoming developments that have advanced international understanding and cooperation regarding bribery in transnational business, such as the Inter-American Convention against Corruption[80], adopted by the Organization of American States in March 1996, which includes an article on the prohibition of foreign commercial bribery; the ongoing work of the Council of Europe against corruption, including the elaboration of several international conventions containing provisions on bribery in international commercial transactions; the ongoing work of the World Trade Organization to improve transparency, openness and due process in government procurement procedures; the ongoing work of the States members of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development including, as elements, the agreement to prohibit the tax deductibility of bribes paid to foreign public officials in international commercial transactions, and the commitment to criminalize the bribing of foreign public officials in international business transactions,

1. Agrees that all States should take all possible measures to further the implementation of the United Nations Declaration against Corruption and Bribery in International Commercial Transactions[81] and of the International Code of Conduct for Public Officials;[82]

2. Urges Member States that have not yet done so to implement relevant international declarations and to ratify, where appropriate, international instruments against corruption;

3. Urges Member States to criminalize, in an effective and coordinated manner, the bribery of public office holders of other States in international commercial transactions and encourages them to engage, as appropriate, in programmatic activities to deter, prevent and combat bribery and corruption, for example, by diminishing institutional barriers through the development of integrated management systems and the promotion of legal reform in accordance with their fundamental legal principles in both the public and private sectors, by encouraging a greater role for citizens in the development of transparent and accountable government, by supporting the active participation of non-governmental organizations in the identification, planning and implementation of initiatives that raise ethical standards and practices in both government and business transactions and by providing training and technical assistance to other States, as appropriate, to develop and implement standards

---

[78] E/CN.15/1997/3.

[79] E/CN.15/1997/3/Add.1, annex.

[80] See E/1996/99.

[81] General Assembly resolution 51/191, annex.

[82] General Assembly resolution 51/59, annex.

of good governance, in particular, accountability and transparency, legitimate commercial and financial conduct and other anti-corruption measures;

4. Requests the Secretary-General to invite each Member State to provide a report on steps taken to implement the provisions of the Declaration, including those dealing with criminalization, effective sanctions, tax deductibility, accounting standards and practices, development of business codes, illicit enrichment, mutual legal assistance and bank secrecy provisions, as well as on national anti-corruption strategies and policies, for compilation by the Secretary-General, and consideration by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, with a view to examining further steps to be taken for the full implementation of the Declaration;

5. Invites competent international, regional and non-governmental organizations to provide relevant information to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice on international efforts to combat corruption and bribery;

6. Requests the Secretary-General, subject to the availability of extrabudgetary funds, to intensify technical assistance to combat corruption, providing advisory services to Member States that request such services, and urges Member States to provide the Secretariat with the necessary extrabudgetary funds for such technical assistance;

7. Requests the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to give attention to the question of the bribery of public office holders of other States in international commercial transactions and to include in its agenda for a future session a review of action taken by States to implement the Declaration.

DRAFT RESOLUTION IV

International cooperation in criminal matters

The General Assembly,

Acknowledging the benefits of the enactment of national laws providing the most flexible basis for extradition, and bearing in mind that some developing countries and countries with economies in transition may lack the resources for developing and implementing treaty relations in extradition, as well as appropriate national legislation,

Bearing in mind that United Nations model treaties on international cooperation in criminal matters provide important tools for the development of international cooperation,

Convinced that existing arrangements governing international cooperation in law enforcement must be continuously reviewed and revised to ensure that the specific contemporary problems of fighting crime are being effectively addressed at all times,

Convinced that reviewing and revising the United Nations model treaties will contribute to increased efficiency in combating criminality,

Commending the work of the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Extradition, held at Siracusa, Italy, from 10 to 13 December 1996, to implement in part Economic and Social Council resolution 1995/27 of 24 July 1995 by reviewing the Model Treaty on Extradition[83] and by proposing complementary provisions for it, elements for model legislation in the field of extradition, and training and technical assistance for national officials engaged in the field of extradition,

Commending also the International Association of Penal Law and the International Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences for providing support for the Meeting and the Governments of Finland, Germany and the United States of America and the United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute for their cooperation in the organization of the Meeting,

Recognizing that the work of the Intergovernmental Expert Group could not be fully completed given the limited amount of time available to it and was therefore ultimately limited to the field of extradition,[84]

Determined to implement section I of Economic and Social Council resolution 1995/27, in which the Council requested the Secretary-General to convene a meeting of an intergovernmental expert group that should explore ways of increasing the efficiency of extradition and related mechanisms of international cooperation,

I

MUTUAL ASSISTANCE

1. Requests the Secretary-General to convene, using extrabudgetary funds already offered for this purpose, a meeting of an intergovernmental expert group to examine practical recommendations for the further development and promotion of mutual assistance in criminal matters;

2. Recommends that the expert group should, in accordance with section I of Economic and Social Council resolution 1995/27, explore ways and means of increasing the efficiency of this type of international cooperation, having due regard to the rule of law and the protection of human rights, including by drafting alternative or complementary articles for the Model Treaty on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters,[85] developing model legislation and providing technical assistance in the development of agreements;

---

[83] General Assembly resolution 45/116, annex.

[84] See E/CN.15/1997/6 and Corr.1, annex., chap. IV.

[85] General Assembly resolution 45/117, annex.

3. Recommends that the expert group submit a report on the implementation of the present resolution to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice no later than at its eighth session;

II

EXTRADITION

1. Welcomes the report of the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Extradition, held at Siracusa, Italy, from 10 to 13 December 1996;[86]

2. Decides that the Model Treaty on Extradition should be complemented by the provisions set forth in the annex to the present resolution;

3. Encourages Member States, within the framework of national legal systems, to enact effective extradition legislation, and calls upon the international community to give all possible assistance in achieving that goal;

4. Requests the Secretary-General to elaborate, in consultation with Member States and subject to extrabudgetary resources, for submission to the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, model legislation to assist Member States in giving effect to the Model Treaty on Extradition, in order to enhance effective cooperation between States, taking into account the contents of model legislation[87] recommended by the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Extradition;

5. Invites States to consider taking steps, within the framework of national legal systems, to conclude extradition and surrender or transfer agreements;

6. Urges States to revise bilateral and multilateral law enforcement cooperation arrangements as an integral part of the effort to effectively combat constantly changing methods of individuals and groups engaging in organized transnational crime;

7. Urges Member States to use the Model Treaty on Extradition as a basis in developing treaty relations at the bilateral, regional or multilateral level, as appropriate;

8. Urges Member States to continue to acknowledge that the protection of human rights should not be considered inconsistent with effective international cooperation in criminal matters, while recognizing the need for fully effective mechanisms for extraditing fugitives;

9. Invites Member States to consider, where applicable and within the framework of national legal systems, the following measures in the context of the use and application of extradition treaties or other arrangements:

---

[86] E/CN.15/1997/6 and Corr.1, annex.

[87] E/CN.15/1997/6 and Corr.1, annex, chap. I, annex II.

(a) Establishing and designating a national central authority to process requests for extradition;

(b) Undertaking regular reviews of their treaty or other extradition arrangements and implementing legislation, as well as taking other necessary measures for the purpose of rendering such arrangements and legislation more efficient and effective in combating new and complex forms of crime;

(c) Simplifying and streamlining procedures necessary to execute and initiate requests for extradition, including the provision to requested States of information sufficient to enable extradition;

(d) Reducing the technical requirements, including documentation, necessary to satisfy the tests for extradition where a person is accused of an offence;

(e) Providing for extraditable offences to extend to all acts and omissions that would be a criminal offence in both States carrying a prescribed minimum penalty and not to be individually listed in treaties or other agreements, particularly with respect to organized transnational crime;

(f) Ensuring effective application of the principle of aut dedere aut judicare;

(g) Paying adequate attention, when considering and implementing the measures mentioned in paragraphs 9 (b) to (f) above, to furthering the protection of human rights and the maintenance of the rule of law;

10. Encourages Member States to promote, on a bilateral, regional or worldwide basis, measures to improve the skills of officials in order to facilitate extradition, such as specialized training and, whenever possible, secondment and exchanges of personnel, as well as the appointment in other States of representatives of prosecuting agencies or of judicial authorities, in accordance with national legislation or bilateral agreements;

11. Reiterates its invitation to Member States to provide to the Secretary-General copies of relevant laws and information on practices related to international cooperation in criminal matters and in particular to extradition, as well as updated information on central authorities designated to deal with requests;

12. Requests the Secretary-General:

(a) Subject to extrabudgetary resources, to regularly update and disseminate the information mentioned in paragraph 11 above;

(b) To continue to provide advisory and technical cooperation services to Member States requesting assistance in the development, negotiation and implementation of bilateral, subregional, regional or international treaties on extradition, as well as the drafting and application of appropriate national legislation, as necessary;

(c) To promote regular communication and exchanges of information between central authorities of Member States dealing with requests for extradition and to promote meetings of such authorities on a regional basis for Member States wishing to attend;

(d) To provide, taking into account the recommendations for a training programme[68] contained in the report of the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting, in cooperation with relevant intergovernmental organizations, with the participation of interested Member States at the intergovernmental organizational meeting referred to in the recommendations and subject to extrabudgetary resources, training for personnel in appropriate governmental agencies and central authorities of requesting Member States on extradition law and practice designed to develop necessary skills and improve communications and cooperation aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of extradition and related practices;

13. Also requests the Secretary-General, subject to extrabudgetary resources and in cooperation with other relevant intergovernmental organizations, the United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute and the other institutes comprising the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, to develop appropriate training materials for use in providing to requesting Member States the technical assistance referred to above;

14. Commends the International Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences for its offer to organize and host a coordination meeting for the purpose of developing the training material referred to in paragraph 13 above, as well as training courses on extradition law and practice;

15. Requests the Secretary-General to ensure the full implementation of the provisions of the present resolution and urges Member States and funding agencies to assist the Secretary-General in implementing the present resolution through voluntary contributions to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Fund;

16. Also requests the Secretary-General to submit the report of the Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Extradition, together with the present resolution, to the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court for consideration.

---

[68] E/CN.15/1997/6 and Corr.1, annex, chap. I, annex III.

ANNEX

Complementary provisions for the Model Treaty on Extradition[89]

Article 3

1. Move the text of footnote 96 to the end of subparagraph (a) and add a new footnote reading: "Countries may wish to exclude certain conduct, e.g., acts of violence, such as serious offences involving an act of violence against the life, physical integrity or liberty of a person, from the concept of political offence".

2. Add the following sentence to footnote 97: "Countries may also wish to restrict consideration of the issue of lapse of time to the law of the requesting State only or to provide that acts of interruption in the requesting State should be recognized in the requested State".

Article 4

3. Add the following footnote to subparagraph (a): "Some countries may also wish to consider, within the framework of national legal systems, other means to ensure that those responsible for crimes do not escape punishment on the basis of nationality, such as, inter alia, provisions that would permit surrender for serious offences, or permit temporary transfer of the person for trial and return of the person to the requested State for service of sentence".

4. Add to subparagraph (d) the same aut dedere aut judicare (either extradite or prosecute) provisions as are found in subparagraphs (a) and (f).

Article 5

5. Add the following footnote to the title article 5: "Countries may wish to consider including the most advanced techniques for the communication of requests and means which could establish the authenticity of the documents as emanating from the requesting State".

6. Replace existing footnote 101 with the following text: "Countries requiring evidence in support of a request for extradition may wish to define the evidentiary requirements necessary to satisfy the test for extradition, and in doing so should take into account the need to facilitate effective international cooperation".

---

[89] The Model Treaty on Extradition is contained in the annex to General Assembly resolution 45/116. See Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 49 A (A/45/49), sect. V (resolutions adopted on the reports of the Third Committee).

Article 6

7. Add the following footnote to the title of article 6: "Countries may wish to provide for the waiver of speciality in the case of simplified extradition".

Article 14

8. Add the following footnote to subparagraph 1 (a): "Countries may also wish to provide that the rule of speciality is not applicable to extraditable offences provable on the same facts, and carrying the same or a lesser penalty as the original offence for which extradition was requested".

9. Delete footnote 103.

10. Add the following footnote to paragraph 2: "Countries may wish to waive the requirement for the provision of some or all of these documents".

Article 15

11. Add the following sentence to footnote 105: "However, countries may wish to provide that transit should not be denied on the basis of nationality".

Article 17

12. Add the following sentence to footnote 106: "There may also be cases for consultations between the requesting and requested States for the payment by the requesting State of extraordinary costs, particularly in complex cases where there is a significant disparity in the resources available to the two States".

DRAFT RESOLUTION V

United Nations African Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 51/61 of 12 December 1996 and all other relevant resolutions,

Taking note of the report of the Secretary-General,[90] and further acknowledging the assistance rendered to the United Nations African Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders,

---

[90] A/52/327.

1. Commends the Institute for its efforts to promote and coordinate regional technical cooperation activities related to crime prevention and criminal justice systems in Africa;

2. Reiterates the need for strengthening the Institute's capacity to support a national mechanism for crime prevention and criminal justice in African countries, in view of the contribution that the Institute can make to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme;

3. Urges the States members of the Institute to make every possible effort to meet their obligations to the Institute;

4. Appeals to all Member States and non-governmental organizations to adopt concrete practical measures to support the Institute in the development of the requisite capacity and in the elaboration and implementation of programmes and activities aimed at strengthening crime prevention and criminal justice systems in Africa;

5. Requests the Secretary-General to intensify efforts to mobilize all relevant entities of the United Nations system to provide the necessary financial and technical support to the Institute to enable it to fulfil its mandate;

6. Also requests the Secretary-General to enhance regional cooperation, coordination and collaboration in the fight against crime, especially in its transnational dimension, which could not be adequately dealt with by national action alone;

7. Further requests the Secretary-General to make concrete proposals to strengthen the programmes and activities of the Institute and to report to the General Assembly at its fifty-third session on the implementation of the present resolution.

DRAFT RESOLUTION VI

Strengthening the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, particularly its technical cooperation capacity

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 46/152 of 18 December 1991 on the creation of an effective United Nations crime prevention and criminal justice programme, in which it approved the statement of principles and programmes of action annexed to the resolution,

Bearing in mind the goals of the United Nations in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice, specifically the reduction of criminality, more efficient and effective law enforcement and administration of justice, respect for human rights and promotion of the highest standards of fairness, humanity and professional conduct,

Convinced of the desirability of closer coordination and cooperation among States in combating crime, including drug-related crimes, such as money-laundering, illicit arms trade and terrorist crimes, bearing in mind the role that could be played by both the United Nations and regional organizations in that respect,

Recognizing the urgent need to increase technical cooperation activities in order to assist countries, in particular developing countries and countries in transition, with their efforts in translating United Nations policy guidelines into practice,

Recalling its relevant resolutions, in which it requested the Secretary-General, as a matter of urgency, to provide the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme with sufficient resources for the full implementation of its mandates, in conformity with the high priority attached to the Programme,

1. Takes note with appreciation of the report of the Secretary-General on the progress made in the implementation of General Assembly resolution 51/63 of 12 December 1996;[91]

2. Reaffirms the importance of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme and the crucial role it has to play in promoting effective action to strengthen international cooperation in crime prevention and criminal justice, in responding to the needs of the international community in the face of both national and transnational criminality, and in assisting Member States in achieving the goals of preventing crime within and among States and improving the response to crime;

3. Also reaffirms the priority of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, in accordance with relevant resolutions, and requests the Secretary-General to further strengthen the Programme by providing it with the resources necessary for the full implementation of its mandates, including follow-up action to the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime[92] and to the Ninth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders;[93]

4. Reaffirms the high priority attached to technical cooperation and advisory services in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice, and stresses the need to continue to improve the operational activities of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, in particular in developing countries and countries in transition, in order to meet the needs of Member States, at their request, for support in crime prevention and criminal justice;

---

[91] A/52/295.

[92] See A/49/748, annex, sect. IA.

[93] See A/CONF.169/16.

5. Calls upon States and United Nations funding agencies to make significant financial contributions for operational activities of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, and encourages all States to make voluntary contributions for that purpose to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Trust Fund, also taking into account the activities required for the implementation of the Naples Political Declaration and Global Action Plan against Organized Transnational Crime;

6. Calls upon all relevant programmes, funds and organizations of the United Nations system, in particular the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank, and other international, regional and national funding agencies, to support technical operational activities in this field and to include such activities in their programmes, utilizing the expertise of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme in such activities and cooperating closely on relevant technical assistance projects and advisory missions;

7. Takes note with appreciation of the contributions of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme to United Nations peacekeeping and special missions, as well as its contributions to the follow-up to those missions, inter alia, through advisory services, and encourages the Secretary-General, as a way of strengthening the rule of law, to recommend the inclusion of the re-establishment and reform of criminal justice systems in peacekeeping operations;

8. Requests the Secretary-General to take all necessary measures to assist the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, as the principal policy-making body in this field, in performing its activities, including cooperation and coordination with other relevant bodies, such as the Commission on Narcotic Drugs, the Commission on Human Rights and the Commission on the Status of Women;

9. Welcomes the efforts undertaken by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to improve the strategic management of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme and to exercise more vigorously its mandated function of resources mobilization, and calls upon the Commission to further strengthen its activities in that direction;

10. Requests the Secretary-General to submit a report on the implementation of the present resolution to the General Assembly at its fifty-third session.

DRAFT RESOLUTION VII

Preparations for the Tenth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 50/145 of 21 December 1995 on the Ninth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders,

Considering that, pursuant to its resolutions 415 (V) of 1 December 1950 and 46/152 of 18 December 1991, the Tenth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders is to be convened in the year 2000,

Recognizing the significant contributions of the United Nations congresses on the prevention of crime and the treatment of offenders to the promotion and strengthening of international cooperation in crime prevention and criminal justice,

Bearing in mind the new role of the congresses as stipulated in paragraph 29 of the Statement of Principles and Programme of Action of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, contained in the annex to General Assembly resolution 46/152,

Recalling Economic and Social Council resolution 1993/32 of 27 July 1993 and the rules of procedure for United Nations congresses on the prevention of crime and the treatment of offenders, annexed to that resolution,

Recalling resolution 5/1 of 30 May 1996 of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, in which the Commission requested the Secretary-General to summarize the views received from Governments, relevant United Nations agencies and programmes, and intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations concerning the proposals for the theme, format, agenda items, workshop topics and possible venue of the Tenth Congress for consideration by the Commission at its sixth session,[94]

1. Takes note of the report of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice on its sixth session[95] and of its discussion on the preparations for the Tenth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders;[96]

2. Decides that the Tenth Congress should be held in the year 2000 and that the following topics should be included in its provisional agenda, as recommended by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice at its sixth session:[97]

(a) Promoting the rule of law and strengthening the criminal justice system;

---

[94] Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, 1996, Supplement No. 10 (E/1996/30), chap. I, sect. D.

[95] Ibid., 1997, Supplement No. 10 (E/1997/30).

[96] Ibid., chap. II.

[97] Ibid., chap. II, para. 15.

(b) International cooperation in combating transnational crime: new challenges in the twenty-first century;

(c) Effective crime prevention: keeping pace with new developments;

(d) Offenders and victims: accountability and fairness in the justice process;

3. Also decides that four workshops on the following issues should be held within the framework of the Tenth Congress:

(a) Combating corruption;

(b) Crimes related to the computer network;

(c) Community involvement in crime prevention;

(d) Women in the criminal justice system;

4. Welcomes the offer by the Government of South Africa to host the Tenth Congress and requests the Secretary-General to initiate consultations with the Government and to report to the Commission at its seventh session;

5. Takes note with appreciation of the statement made on behalf of the Government of Austria that, if consensus could be reached and questions of timing could be resolved, that Government would be honoured to host the Tenth Congress at Vienna;

6. Requests the Commission, at its seventh session, to finalize the programme for the Tenth Congress and to make its final recommendations, through the Economic and Social Council, to the General Assembly, taking into account that the Tenth Congress should deal with a limited number of precisely defined substantive topics reflecting the urgent needs of the world community and include practical technical workshops on well-focused issues related to the substantive agenda items;

7. Requests the Secretary-General to prepare a discussion guide for the consideration of the Commission, in cooperation with the institutes for the prevention of crime and the treatment of offenders affiliated with the United Nations, and invites Member States to be actively involved in that process;

8. Invites the regional commissions, the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, government-appointed national correspondents in the field of crime prevention and criminal justice, specialized agencies and other entities within the United Nations system, the intergovernmental organizations concerned and relevant non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council to become actively involved in the preparations for the Tenth Congress;

9. Invites Member States to be represented at the Tenth Congress at a high political level, for example by heads of State, government ministers and attorneys-general;

10. Decides to reserve the first two days of the plenary session at the Tenth Congress following its opening primarily for statements by such representatives at a high political level on the main themes of the Congress;

11. Requests the Secretary-General to prepare an overview of the state of crime and criminal justice worldwide for presentation at the opening of the Tenth Congress;

12. Also requests the Secretary-General to facilitate the organization of ancillary meetings of non-governmental and professional organizations participating in the Tenth Congress, in accordance with past practice, as well as meetings of professional and geographical interest groups and to take appropriate measures to encourage the participation of the academic and research community at the Tenth Congress;

13. Further requests the Secretary-General to provide the Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Division of the Secretariat, serving as the secretariat of the Tenth Congress, with the resources necessary to undertake, in an effective and timely manner, within the overall appropriations of the programme budget for the biennium 1998-1999, the preparatory activities for the Tenth Congress, as directed by the Commission, including the organization of regional preparatory meetings, and to ensure adequate resources for the biennium 2000-2001 for other requirements and the conduct of the Tenth Congress itself;

14. Further requests the Secretary-General to provide resources, as required, in accordance with established United Nations budgetary practice, within the overall appropriations of the programme budget for the biennium 1998-1999, and adequate resources for the biennium 2000-2001, in order to ensure an appropriate programme of public information relating to the preparations for the Tenth Congress;

15. Further requests the Secretary-General to make available the necessary resources for the participation of the least developed countries in the regional preparatory meetings for the Tenth Congress and in the Congress itself, in accordance with past practice;

16. Invites the Commission, as the preparatory body for the United Nations congresses, to finalize, at its seventh session, all organizational arrangements for the Tenth Congress, including its dates and duration, documentation and venue;

17. Requests the Secretary-General to ensure proper follow-up action to the present resolution and to report thereon to the General Assembly, through the Commission at its seventh session.